



RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus triggers antiviral immune response in rainbow trout red blood cells, despite not being infective [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations]

Previously titled: "Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective"

Ivan Nombela ¹, Aurora Carrion ¹, Sara Puente-Marin ¹, Verónica Chico ¹, Luis Mercado ², Luis Perez ¹, Julio Coll ³, Maria del Mar Ortega-Villaizan ¹

¹Instituto de Biología Molecular y Celular, Miguel Hernández University, Elche, Spain

²Institute of Biology, Catholic Pontifical University of Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile

³Instituto Nacional de Investigación y Tecnología Agraria y Alimentaria, Madrid, Spain

v2 First published: 07 Nov 2017, 6:1968 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.12994.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12994.1))
Latest published: 13 Dec 2017, 6:1968 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.12994.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12994.2))

Abstract

Background: Some fish viruses, such as piscine orthoreovirus and infectious salmon anemia virus, target red blood cells (RBCs), replicate inside them and induce an immune response. However, the roles of RBCs in the context of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) infection have not been studied yet.

Methods: Ex vivo rainbow trout RBCs were obtained from peripheral blood, Ficoll purified and exposed to IPNV in order to analyze infectivity and immune response using RT-qPCR, immune fluorescence imaging, flow cytometry and western-blotting techniques.

Results: IPNV could not infect RBCs; however, IPNV increased the expression of the INF1-related genes *ifn-1*, *pkr* and *mx* genes. Moreover, conditioned media from IPNV-exposed RBCs conferred protection against IPNV infection in CHSE-214 fish cell line.

Conclusions: Despite not being infected, rainbow trout RBCs could respond to IPNV with increased expression of antiviral genes. Fish RBCs could be considered as mediators of the antiviral response and therefore targets of new strategies against fish viral infections. Further research is ongoing to completely understand the molecular mechanism that triggers this antiviral response in rainbow trout RBCs.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status: ? ✓

Invited Referees		
	1	2
REVISED		
version 2		
published		
13 Dec 2017		
version 1	?	✓
published	report	report
07 Nov 2017		

- Espen Rimstad**, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Norway
Maria Dahle, Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Norway
- Niels C. Bols**, University of Waterloo, Canada

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Maria del Mar Ortega-Villaizan (mortega-villaizan@umh.es)

Author roles: **Nombela I:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Carrion A:** Formal Analysis, Investigation; **Puente-Marin S:** Investigation; **Chico V:** Formal Analysis, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing; **Mercado L:** Resources; **Perez L:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Coll J:** Writing – Review & Editing; **Ortega-Villaizan MdM:** Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Funding Acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project Administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – Original Draft Preparation, Writing – Review & Editing

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

How to cite this article: Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S *et al.* **Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus triggers antiviral immune response in rainbow trout red blood cells, despite not being infective** [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 1 approved with reservations] *F1000Research* 2017, **6**:1968 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.12994.2](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12994.2))

Copyright: © 2017 Nombela I *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Data associated with the article are available under the terms of the [Creative Commons Zero "No rights reserved" data waiver](#) (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).

Grant information: This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC starting grant 2014 GA639249).
The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

First published: 07 Nov 2017, **6**:1968 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.12994.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.12994.1))

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

In this new version of the manuscript we have included the corrections of Dr. Rimstad and Dr. Bols along the manuscript, in order to improve manuscript understanding. The line of the manuscript has not changed, but the manuscript comprehension has been improved. We have corrected several mistyping and linguistic errors, as well as clarifying some points in the manuscript and eliminating some confusing citations. Therefore, some references have been eliminated and one reference previously unpublished is now updated. Besides, as Dr. Bols suggested, in Figure 3A, FFU/ml has been removed from the y axis and only mentioned in the legend of the figure.

See referee reports

Introduction

Fish viral infections cause significant losses in aquaculture. Infectious pancreatic necrosis (IPN) is a highly contagious viral disease with a high impact on salmonid aquaculture industry. Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) is the causative agent of IPN and was the first fish virus isolated in cell culture¹. IPNV outbreaks are usually related to high mortality rates in salmonid aquaculture, especially in young individuals^{2,3}, highlighting the urgent necessity for the development of efficient strategies in vaccination. IPNV belongs to the *Aquabirnavirus* genus within the *Birnaviridae* family. Viruses of this family are non-enveloped particles with a double stranded RNA genome. This genome consists of two segments: the A segment contains the information to encode a protein that is post-translationally cleaved into VP2, VP3 and VP4 viral proteins; the B segment encodes the viral RNA polymerase VP1⁴. VP2 and VP3 are the major structural and immunogenic proteins, as they represent 64% of the total proteins of the virion⁵.

In contrast to mammals, fish, reptiles and avian red blood cells (RBCs) are nucleated. Typically, the role associated with RBCs has been the transport of O₂ to different tissues and gas exchange. However, a whole set of biological processes related to the immune response has been recently reported for nucleated RBCs from different species: recognition of pathogen associated molecular patterns^{6,7} through expression of pattern recognition receptors, such as toll-like receptors (TLRs)⁸; production of cytokine-like factors^{7,9–11}; phagocytosis¹²; and formation of complement immune complexes¹³. Fish RBCs are known to be the target of some viruses, such as infectious salmon anemia virus (ISAV)¹¹ and piscine orthoreovirus (PRV)^{14,15}. Furthermore, both viruses can induce immune responses in infected RBCs, characterized by the expression of genes related to IFN-1 (type I interferon) pathway. Besides, recently it has been shown that viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) halted replication in rainbow trout RBCs could induce cytokine production¹⁶.

In view of the aforementioned evidence, this study was aimed to evaluate the immune response of rainbow trout RBCs against IPNV, one of the most ubiquitous viral fish pathogens. To achieve this objective, we first analyzed the infectivity of IPNV in rainbow trout RBCs. Then, RBCs immune response was evaluated after *ex vivo* exposure to IPNV, by means of antiviral gene

and protein expression analysis. Finally, we evaluated the ability of RBCs to confer protection against IPNV in CHSE-214 cells, which are susceptible to IPNV infection. To summarize, here we report the regulation of the immune response of rainbow trout RBCs by IPNV, a non-infective virus in this cell type. This immune response was characterized by the expression of genes related to the IFN-1 pathway, Mx production and induction of an antiviral state to IPNV in CHSE-214 cells.

Methods

Animals

Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) individuals of approximately 10 g were obtained from a commercial fish farm (PISZOLLA S.L., CIMBALLA FISH FARM, Zaragoza, Spain). Fish were maintained at the University Miguel Hernandez (UMH) facilities with a re-circulating dechlorinated-water system, at a stocking density of 1 fish/3L, at 14°C, and fed daily with a commercial diet (SKRETTING, Burgos, Spain). Fish were acclimatized to laboratory conditions over 2 weeks before experimentation. The number of fish used is indicated for each experiment/figure.

RBCs purification

Rainbow trout were sacrificed by overexposure to tricaine methanesulfonate (Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) at 0.2 g/L. Peripheral blood was sampled from the caudal vein using insulin syringes (NIPRO Bridgewater, NJ). Approximately 100 µL of blood was diluted in RPMI-1640 medium (Dutch modification) (Gibco, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc., Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Cultek, Madrid, Spain), 1 mM pyruvate (Gibco), 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco), 50 µg/mL gentamicin (Gibco), 2 µg/mL fungizone (Gibco) and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, RBCs were purified by two consecutive density gradient centrifugations with Histopaque 1077 (7206g, Ficoll 1.007; Sigma-Aldrich). Finally, RBCs were washed twice with RPMI 2% FBS. Purity of RBCs of 99.9% was estimated by optical microscopy evaluation. Then, purified RBCs were cultured in the above indicated medium at a density of 10⁷ cells/mL, in cell culture flasks, at 14°C, overnight.

Viral infection assays

Ex vivo rainbow trout RBCs along with CHSE-214 cell line (Chinook Salmon Embryo, ATCC CRL-1681) were infected using IPNV Sp strain¹⁷. IPNV was grown as previously described¹⁸. *Ex vivo* RBCs exposure to IPNV was performed by incubating RBCs with diluted IPNV at the indicated MOI (multiplicity of infection) in RPMI 2% FBS. After three hours of incubation at 14°C, RBCs were centrifuged at 1600 rpm for 5 minutes and then washed with medium to completely eliminate the non-adsorbed excess of virus. Finally, RBCs were placed in 24 well plates (Corning Costar, Sigma-Aldrich, Madrid, Spain) with 500 µL of RPMI 2% FBS. The whole process was done at 14°C. Infection of the CHSE-214 cell line was done by incubating IPNV diluted in RPMI 2% FBS at the desired MOI for 1 hour at 14°C. After that, medium was removed and RPMI 2% FBS was added to each well. Infected CHSE-214 cells were maintained at 14°C¹⁸.

In time course experiments, the initial supernatant with IPNV was not removed. When each of the time points was reached, RBCs were washed with cell culture medium and CHSE-214 cells with PBS supplemented with calcium.

Viral titration assay

The virus titer in IPNV-exposed RBCs supernatants was quantified by TCID₅₀ and by RT-qPCR. Briefly, different dilutions of the supernatants (from 10⁻¹ to 10⁻⁴) were added to CHSE-214 cell monolayers, and incubated at 14°C for 90 minutes. Then, the virus was removed and infected CHSE-214 cell monolayers covered with a solution of RPMI 2% FBS. Cell plates were incubated at 14°C for 7 days. For RT-qPCR titration, 30 µL of IPNV with known titer (10⁹ TCID₅₀/mL) and 30 µL of IPNV-exposed RBCs supernatants were used to extract RNA and synthesize cDNA, as explained hereafter. Ten-fold serial dilutions from 10⁸ to 10² TCID₅₀/mL were done to obtain IPNV cDNA and create a standard line.

RNA isolation and DNase treatment

The E.Z.N.A.® Total RNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek Inc., Norcross, GA) was used for total RNA extraction, in accordance with manufacturer's instructions. DNase treatment was done in order to eliminate residual genomic DNA using TURBO™ DNase (Ambion, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.), following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was quantified with a NanoDrop® 377 Spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE).

Gene expression by RT-qPCR

cDNA was synthesized from RNA using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, Thermo Fischer Scientific Inc.), as previously described¹⁹. Final concentration of cDNA was 6 ng/µL. RT-qPCR reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 µl using 12 ng of cDNA, 10 µl of TaqMan universal PCR master mix (Thermo Fischer Scientific), 900 nM final concentration of each primer (300 nM for IPNV segment A) and 300 nM of probe (150 nM for IPNV segment A). RT-qPCR was performed using the ABI PRISM 7300 System (Thermo Fischer Scientific). Cycling conditions were 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min.

Gene expression was analyzed by the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method²⁰. The eukaryotic 18S rRNA gene (Cat#4310893E, Thermo Fischer Scientific) was used as endogenous control. Primers and probes are listed in Table 1.

Antibodies

Several antibodies were used to stain cells for cytokines and to measure polypeptides in RBCs extracts by western blotting. They are briefly described below and their Research Resource Identifiers (RRIDs) given. For intracellular staining, mouse polyclonal antibodies against rainbow trout IL1β (RRID: AB_2716269)^{21,22}, IL8 (RRID: AB_2716272)²³ and TNF-α (RRID: AB_2716270)²⁴ were produced at the laboratory of Dr. Luis Mercado. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against rainbow trout Mx3 (RRID: ABA_2716267)^{25,26} was produced at the laboratory of Dr. Amparo Estepa. Anti-IPNV-VP3 monoclonal antibody 2F12 (RRID: AB_2716296) was used for IPNV labelling²⁷. Anti-rabbit IgG (H+L) CF™ 488 antibody produced in goat and anti-mouse

IgG (H+L) CF™ 488 antibody produced in goat were used as secondary antibodies for proteins and anti-mouse IgG (H+L) CF™ 647 produced in goat to detect 2F12 antibody.

For western blotting, rabbit polyclonal antibody against human eIF2α-P (Cat# E2152, RRID:AB_259283) and rabbit polyclonal antibody against human α-Actin (Cat#2066, RRID: AB_476693) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

Western blot

Control and IPNV-exposed RBCs pellets (~10⁷ cells) were used for protein extraction. Cell pellets were washed 3 times with PBS and then resuspended in 30 µl of PBS with a cocktail of protease inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). Then, cells were frozen/thawed 3 times and lysed using an eppendorf micropipette (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). Samples were loaded in Tris–Glycine sodium dodecyl sulfate 12% polyacrylamide gels under reducing conditions. Electrophoresis was performed at 200 V for 60 min. For blotting, the proteins in the gel were transferred for 80 min at 100 V in transfer buffer (2.5 mM Tris, 9 mM glycine, 20% methanol) to nitrocellulose membranes (BioRad, Madrid, Spain). Then, membranes were blocked with 8% dry milk and 1% Tween-20 in PBS and incubated with eIF2α-P or α-Actin antibodies, at the recommended dilutions in PBS containing 0.5% dry milk and 0.5% Tween-20 at 4°C and overnight. Incubation with secondary antibody GAR-Po (Sigma-Aldrich) was done in 0.5% milk 0.5% Tween-20 in PBS for 45 min. Membranes were washed 3 times with PBS containing 1% dry milk 0.5% Tween-20 for 15 min after every antibody incubation. Finally, the membrane was washed 3 times with PBS before analysis of the peroxidase activity. Peroxidase activity was detected using ECL chemiluminescence reagents (Amersham Biosciences, Buckinghamshire, UK) and revealed by exposure to X-ray. Protein bands from western blotting were analysed by densitometry using the Scion Image 4.0.2 Software (RRID: SCR_008673) (www.scionorg.com).

Intracellular immunofluorescence stain and flow cytometry

RBCs were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.08% glutaraldehyde (Sigma-Aldrich) diluted in RPMI medium for 20 minutes. Then, RBCs were incubated with permeabilization buffer containing 0.05% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich) in RPMI, for 15 minutes. Primary antibodies were used at 1/50 dilution for IL-1β, IL-8 and TNF-α, 1/300 for Mx and 1/500 for 2F12 in permeabilization buffer and incubated for 60 minutes at room temperature. Secondary antibodies were incubated for 30 minutes at 1/200 dilution. RBCs were washed with permeabilization buffer after antibody incubations. Finally, RBCs were kept in PFA 1% in PBS. For nuclear staining, RBCs were stained with 1 µg/mL of 4'-6-408 Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 minutes. Flow cytometry (FC) analysis was done in a BD FACSCanto™ II (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer. Immunofluorescence (IF) images were performed in the INCell Analyzer 6000 Cell imaging system (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK).

Antiviral activity of conditioned medium

Conditioned medium (CM) was obtained from control and IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5, during 3 days. The CMs were clarified at 1600 rpm for 5 min. IPNV titer in the

Table 1. List of primers and probes.

Gene	Forward primer (5' – 3')	Reverse primer (5' – 3')	Probe (5' – 3')	Reference or accession number
IPNV SA	TCTCCCGGCGAGTTCAAGT	CGGTTTCACGATGGGTTGTT	CCAGAACCCAGGTGACGAGTATGAGGACTACAT	18
<i>tlr3</i>	ACTCGGTGGTGCTGGTCTTC	GAGGAGGCAATTGGACGAA	CAAGTTGTCCCGCTGTCTGCTCCTG	NM_001124578.1
<i>irf7</i>	CCCAGGGTTCAGCTCCACTA	GGTCTGGCAACCCCGTCAGT	TCGAGGCCAAACACCCAGCCCCCT	AJ829673
<i>ifn1</i>	ACCAGATGGGAGGAGATATCACA	GTCTCAAACTCAGCATCATCTATGT	AATGCCCCAGTCCTTTTCCCAATC	AM4894.18.1
<i>mx1-3</i>	TGAAGCCCAGGATGAAATGG	TGGCAGGTCGATGAGTGTGA	ACCTCATCAGCCTAGAGATTGGCTCCCC	28
<i>pkri</i>	GACACCGCGTACCGATGTG	GGACGAACTGCTGCCGTGAAT	CACCACCTCTGAGAGCGACACCACCTTC	NM_001145891.1
<i>il18</i>	AGAGACACTGAGATCATTGCCAC	CCCTCTTCATTTGTTGTTGGC		29
<i>ifnγ</i>	CAAACGTAAAGTCCACTATAAGATCTCCA	TCCTGAATTTTCCCTTGACATATTT		30
<i>tnfa</i>	AGCATGGAAGACCGTCAACGAT	ACCCTCTAAATGGATGGCTGCTT		31

supernatants of IPNV-exposed RBCs resulted in 10 TCID₅₀/mL or less, therefore viral presence in the supernatants was obviated. To test the antiviral activity of the CM, confluent CHSE-214 cells (7.8×10⁴ cells/well), seeded in 96 well plates, were pre-treated with 100 µL of each supernatant at the indicated dilutions for 24 hours. After that, CHSE-214 cells were infected, as described previously, with IPNV at MOI 0.05, for 24 hours. Finally, intracellular staining of IPNV foci was carried out.

Intracellular staining of IPNV foci

CHSE-214 cells were fixed with PFA diluted at 4% in PBS followed by a second fixation with cold methanol. Each fixation step lasted 15 minutes. Cells were washed with PBS after each fixation step. Blocking buffer containing 5% goat serum (Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.3% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to each well with the cells for 1 hour. Then, anti-VP3 2F12 antibody was diluted 1/500 in antibody dilution buffer (1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich), 0.3% Triton X-100) and was incubated for 1 hour. FITC-labelled goat anti-rabbit was used as secondary antibody at 1/300 dilution. Cells were washed three times after each antibody incubation with PBS. IF images were taken INCell Analyzer 6000 imaging system. *IN Cell Developer Toolbox 1.9.2* (RRID: SCR_015790; GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) was used to count number of IPNV foci (positive areas after image segmentation were selected when >21000 fluorescence units and >2500 µm² criteria was reached).

MTT assays

Cell viability was tested using MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) colorimetric assay³². Briefly, 25 µL of MTT at a final concentration of 1.9 mg/mL were added to previously treated CHSE-214 cells monolayers, seeded in 96 well plates. Cells were incubated for 3 hours at 21°C with the reagent. Then, the medium was removed from the wells. Formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 µL of 100% DMSO, incubated for 30 minutes. Absorbance was read at 570 nm in the EONTM microplate spectrophotometer (Biotek, Winooski, VT). Percentage of viable cells was calculated as follows: absorbance treated cells/absorbance non-treated cells) ×100.

Software and statistics

All the figures and graphics show the mean and standard deviation of the data. P-values associated with each graphic are represented by the legends: *, p-value < 0.05; **, p-value < 0.01; ***, p-value < 0.001, ****, p-value < 0.0001. *Graphpad Prism 6* (RRID: SCR_002798, www.graphpad.com) (Graphpad Software Inc., San Diego, A) was used for preparing graphs and performing statistical calculations. FC data were analyzed using *Flowing Software 2.5.1* (RRID: SCR_015781)(www.flowingsoftware.com) to obtain Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) and Mean Relative Fluorescence Intensity (MRFI) (relative to control cells) values.

Ethics approval

Methodology was carried out in accordance with the Spanish Royal Decree RD 53/2013 and EU Directive 2010/63/EU

for animals used in research experimentation. All experimental protocols involving animal handling were also reviewed and approved by the Animal Welfare Body and the Research Ethics Committee at the Miguel Hernandez University (approval number 2014.205.E.OEP; 2016.221.E.OEP) and performed by qualified research personnel.

Results

IPNV did not infect rainbow trout RBCs

To evaluate the infectivity of IPNV in rainbow trout RBCs, RBCs were exposed to IPNV at MOI 0.5 and the viral RNA was evaluated by RT-qPCR in the cell pellet at different times post-exposure. IPNV infectivity was also evaluated in parallel in the CHSE-214 cell line, used as a positive control of infection. IPNV segment A (IPNV-A) RNA levels inside RBCs and CHSE-214 cell line were similar at 1 and 3 hours post-exposure (hpe) (Figure 1A). After 6 hpe, IPNV-A RNA level was 3 logarithms lower in RBCs in comparison with CHSE-214 cells. On the other hand, the titer of IPNV in the supernatants from IPNV-exposed RBCs at a MOI of 0.5 and 5, was evaluated by TCID₅₀ at 3 days post-exposure (dpe), and showed a recovered titer of 5 and 4 logarithms lower, respectively (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the supernatants titrated by RT-qPCR, were below the lowest limit of detection 10² TCID₅₀ (Table 2). Moreover, FC analysis of control and IPNV-exposed RBCs for IPNV VP3 protein did not show significant differences (Figure 1C and D). Therefore, IPNV did not infect rainbow trout RBCs.

IPNV exposure increased the expression of interferon-related antiviral genes and proteins in rainbow trout RBCs

To determine if IPNV would induce an antiviral response in RBCs, RT-qPCR analysis of IFN-related antiviral genes was performed for IPNV-exposed RBCs. The results showed that *mx1–3* and *pkx* genes were significantly expressed at 72 hpe. On the other hand, *ifn1* gene presented a tendency to increase its expression after 6 hpe, having a peak at 24 hpe. Also, *tlr3* gene expression tended to be upregulated at 24 hpe, whereas *irf7* expression was upregulated at 72 hpe (Figure 2A). Three and six dpe with IPNV, RBCs were stained intracellularly with an anti-Mx antibody and analyzed by FC and immunofluorescence imaging (IF). The results showed a significant increment in the expression of Mx protein at 6 dpe by both FC and IF (Figure 2B and D). FC histograms showed, at 6 dpe, that RBCs depicted distinct peaks of Mx expression, showing that the expression of Mx in RBCs was heterogeneous in the total RBCs population (Figure 2C).

Conditioned medium from IPNV-exposed RBCs protected CHSE-214 cells against IPNV infection

To analyze if IPNV-exposed RBCs could secrete factors that were capable to protect other fish cells against IPNV infection, conditioned medium (CM) from control and IPNV-exposed RBCs (with IPNV titer <10 TCID₅₀/mL) were added to CHSE-214 cells prior to infection. Figure 3A shows a significant decrease in the number of IPNV infective focus forming units




Figure 1. Infectivity of IPNV in RBCs. (A) Time-course experiment of the expression of IPNV segment A (IPNV-A) in RBCs (●) (n = 6) and CHSE-214 cells (○) (n = 2) at MOI 0.5. Data is represented as mean±SD. Kruskal-Wallis Test with Dunn's Multiple Comparison post-hoc test was performed among all time-points post-exposure in comparison with control time point (0 hpi) (*, p-value < 0.05). (B) Recovered virus titer in supernatants from IPNV-exposed RBCs with an inoculum titer of 10⁶ (MOI 0.5) and 10⁷ (MOI 5) TCID₅₀/mL obtained after 72 hpe (n = 5). Data is represented as mean±SD. Mann-Whitney test was performed among both conditions (*, p-value < 0.05). (C) MFI (mean fluorescence intensity) of viral protein VP3 in control and IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5 and 3 dpe (n = 6) Mann-Whitney test was performed among both conditions. (D) Representative flow cytometry histograms of IPNV VP3 protein detection in control and IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5 and 3 dpi.

Table 2. Rt-qPCR virus titration.
Ct value ± standard deviation from standard line points (10⁸ to 10² dilutions) and supernatants from IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5, at 3 and 6 dpe. (n=7 individuals).

Sample	Ct value ± SD
10 ⁸ TCID ₅₀	25,885 ± 0,052
10 ⁷ TCID ₅₀	29,856 ± 0,117
10 ⁶ TCID ₅₀	33,165 ± 0,168
10 ⁵ TCID ₅₀	36,057 ± 0,11
10 ⁴ TCID ₅₀	39,126 ± 0.873
10 ³ TCID ₅₀	Undetected
10 ² TCID ₅₀	Undetected
RBCs #1 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #1 6 dpe	Undetected

Sample	Ct value ± SD
RBCs #2 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #2 6 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #3 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #3 6 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #4 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #4 6 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #5 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #5 6 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #6 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #6 6 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #7 3 dpe	Undetected
RBCs #7 6 dpe	Undetected
NTC	Undetected




Figure 2. RBCs IFN-related antiviral response against IPNV. (A) Gene expression of *tlr3*, *irf7*, *ifn1*, *mx1-3* and *pkrr* in IPNV-exposed RBCs at the indicated times post-infection and MOI 0.5, measured by RT-qPCR. Data represent mean $\pm$ SD (n = 6). Kruskal-Wallis Test with Dunn's Multiple Comparison post-hoc test was performed among all time-points post-exposure in comparison with control time point (0 hpi) (*, p-value < 0.05). (B) Mx protein MRFI (mean relative fluorescent intensity, relative to control cells) in IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5 (n = 5). (C) Flow cytometry histograms of Mx protein expression from control (grey) and IPNV-exposed (red) RBCs at MOI 0.5 and the indicated days post-exposure (dpe). (D) Representative immunofluorescence images of Mx protein expression in control and IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5 (FITC – Mx protein expression, DAPI – Nuclei) (IF representative of 40 images).




Figure 3. Antiviral activity of the conditioned media from IPNV-exposed RBCs. (A) Viral titers (FFU/mL) in CHSE-214 cells infected with IPNV at MOI 0.05 previously non-treated (black) or treated with either supernatants from control RBCs (white) or IPNV-exposed RBCs (grey), during 24 hours, at the indicated dilutions (n = 4, performing triplicates from each individual). Two-way ANOVA, with Sidak's multiple comparison test, was performed among the different dilutions and conditions to test statistical differences. (B) Percentage of viable CHSE-214 cells pre-treated with conditioned medium from control and IPNV-exposed RBCs, during 24 hours, and relative to non-treated CHSE-214 cells. Percentage of viable cells was calculated as follows: absorbance treated cells/absorbance non-treated cells) $\times 100$.

(FFU/mL) when pre-treating with 1/5 diluted CM from IPNV-exposed RBCs. CHSE-214 cells viability, by means of an MTT colorimetric assay, was not affected by the exposure to CM (Figure 3B).

IPNV exposure decreased the expression of cytokines in rainbow trout RBCs

To evaluate whether *ex vivo* rainbow trout RBCs could produce cytokines in response to IPNV exposure, RBCs were exposed to IPNV and IL-1 β , IL-8 and TNF- α protein levels were evaluated by means of FC and IF in control and IPNV-exposed cell cultures. The results showed a decrease in the protein expression of IL-1 β , IL-8 and TNF α in IPNV-exposed RBCs (Figure 4A).

IPNV exposure did not induce phosphorylation of the α -subunit of the eukaryotic translational initiation factor 2 (eIF2 α) in rainbow trout RBCs

The phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF2 α is a key mechanism of global inhibition of translational initiation³³ and it has been described to happen after IPNV infection in the permissive cell line CHSE-214 cells³⁴. In this sense, since IPNV-exposed RBCs depicted a small downregulation

of the evaluated cytokines protein levels, we further investigated whether IPNV exposure could reduce protein translation in RBCs by triggering the phosphorylation of eIF2 α . However, the results revealed no changes in the phosphorylation of eIF2 α (Figure 4B).

Dataset 1. Excel file containing qPCR data

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182842>

Each sheet contains the raw Ct values for the indicated figure numbers, organized by samples (rows) and genes (columns).

Dataset 2. Excel file containing the virus titration data

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182843>

Contains the virus titer (TCID₅₀/mL) results of the indicated figure number.

Dataset 3. Flow cytometry data

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182844>

Each folder contains the Flow Cytometry Standard (.fcs) format files. Source data files are organized by figure number, and then by sample number, condition and antibody.




Figure 4. IPNV-exposure decreased cytokine levels in rainbow trout RBCs. (A) Intracellular MFI (mean fluorescent intensity) values of IL-1 β , IL-8 and TNF α from control and IPNV-exposed RBCs at MOI 0.5 and 3 dpe measured by FC (flow cytometry)(n = 6). Mann-Whitney test was performed among both conditions. (B) Phosphorylation of translation initiation factor eIF2 α in IPNV-exposed RBCs. Representative western blot of eIF2 α -P in control and IPNV-exposed RBCs from two individuals at MOI 0.5, 3 dpe. Densitometry ratios were done relativizing to α -actin. Mann-Whitney test was performed among both conditions.

Dataset 4. Excel file containing the Focus Forming Units (FFU) counting for Figure 3A

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182845>

Dataset 5. Excel file containing MTT absorbance raw data

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182846>

Dataset 6. Excel file containing the densitometry raw data of eIF2 α -P and α -Actin western blots

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182847>

Related uncropped blots are included.

Discussion

Previously, we have demonstrated that rainbow trout RBCs can respond to VHSV, a ssRNA virus not targeting RBCs, halting its replication, downregulating type I interferon-related genes, showing global protein downregulation in the cell and phosphorylation of the translation initiation factor eIF2 α ¹⁶.

It is known that IPNV primarily targets pancreatic and liver cells³⁵. It has been also reported that IPNV was detectable in kidney hematopoietic tissue, corpuscles of Stannius, in Islets of Langerhans, in the lamina propria of the pyloric caeca, the gill arch connective tissue, the ventricle of the heart and dermis of the skin³⁵. Our results showed that IPNV did not replicate in RBCs, although small amounts of IPNV were persistently found inside RBCs after 3 dpe ($\approx 10^3$ TCID₅₀/mL). Similarly, IPNV has been shown to enter mammalian cells, without significant levels of replication, being this entry suggested to be receptor mediated³⁶. From our results, the persistence of IPNV in RBCs after 72 hpe could point out the entry of the virus inside RBCs. However, we could not further verify the presence of the IPNV inside RBCs (Figure 1).

Nevertheless, despite the lack of replication of IPNV in RBCs, IPNV could induce an antiviral gene expression mediated by the IFN pathway, as it has been observed in RBCs productive infections with ISAV¹¹ and PRV¹⁴. As shown by our results, *ifn1* and IFN-1 related genes (*irf7*, *pkr* and *mx*) expression levels were increased time-dependently in response to IPNV-exposure. High inter-individual variability was observed, similarly to that found in the RBCs from salmon challenged with PRV³⁷. In addition, although we could not verify the entry and uncoating of IPNV inside RBCs, we could observe an increment in the expression of the *tlr3* gene in parallel to the expression of the other IFN-related genes in IPNV-exposed RBCs. This could indicate the activation of the TLR3/IFN pathway by the presence of intracellular viral dsRNA.

IFN-1 leads to the expression of interferon stimulated genes (ISGs)³⁸. Among ISGs, the antiviral protein Mx has a well characterized antiviral role. Confirming those expectations, our results showed the significant upregulation of the Mx protein 6 dpe, after having a peak of its gene expression at 3 dpe.

Previously, a positive correlation between the expression of Mx protein and the inhibition of IPNV in CHSE-214 cells has been established³⁹. Therefore, Mx protein production in IPNV-exposed RBCs could be involved in the low IPNV titers observed. The high basal levels of Mx protein detected inside RBCs (Figure 2D), much elevated than those for CHSE-214 cells (Figure S1), could be implicated in the early disappearance of IPNV inside RBCs. A similar hypothesis has been made in the abortive infection of VHSV in the RTS-11 cell line⁴⁰ and in rainbow trout RBCs¹⁶, where upregulation or high constitutive expression of *mx* genes was speculated to be related to the inhibition of the virus.

Moreover, our results showed that CM from RBCs exposed to IPNV could partially protect CHSE-214 cells from IPNV infection. Similar to other cell types, this antiviral activity has been also observed in CM of RTS11 and RTG-2 cells exposed to Poly (I:C) (polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid) and/or infected with chum salmon reovirus⁴¹. The fact that RBCs can secrete factors that confer protection against IPNV infection in other cell lines could indicate that RBCs, despite not being permissive to IPNV infection, may exhibit an antiviral response. Besides, we evaluated the production of cytokines in IPNV-exposed RBCs. Previously, the expression of IL-1 β in salmon gill and head kidney tissues⁴², IL-8 in rainbow trout head kidney tissue⁴³ and TNF α in zebrafish embryonic cells⁴⁴ have been implicated in the immune response against IPNV; therefore, we chose these cytokines to evaluate the immune response of rainbow trout RBCs to IPNV exposure. However, our results showed a reduction trend of these proteins in IPNV-exposed RBCs.

A shutdown in protein synthesis by phosphorylation of eIF2 α has been reported in CHSE-214 cells infected with IPNV³⁴. So far, in rainbow trout RBCs exposed to IPNV, although a trend to cytokine protein reduction was observed, no phosphorylation of eIF2 α was detected and Mx protein expression was increased. IFN-1 has been reported to inhibit the production of IL-1 β ⁴⁵, therefore, the cytokine reduction trend observed could have been a result of the related IFN-1 pathway upregulation. In contrast, in rainbow trout RBCs, VHSV rhabdovirus induced phosphorylation of eIF2 α and a cell shut-off characterized by the downregulation of the proteome¹⁶.

Further studies are needed to completely understand the molecular mechanism through which IPNV triggers this immune response in rainbow trout RBCs. However, the lack of commercial antibodies against fish proteins involved in cell signaling networks limits the study of this area. The implication of RBCs during *in vivo* IPNV infection and the response against different strains of IPNV remains to be evaluated.

Finally, one of the potential applications of these results is that fish RBCs could be considered mediators of the antiviral response and therefore targets of novel DNA vaccines and of new strategies against fish viral infections.

Data availability

Dataset 1. Excel file containing qPCR data. Each sheet contains the raw Ct values for the indicated figure numbers, organized by samples (rows) and genes (columns). doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182842](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182842)⁴⁶

Dataset 2. Excel file containing the virus titration data. Contains the virus titer (TCID₅₀/mL) results of the indicated figure number. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182843](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182843)⁴⁷

Dataset 3. Flow cytometry data. Each folder contains the Flow Cytometry Standard (.fcs) format files. Source data files are organized by figure number, and then by sample number, condition and antibody. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182844](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182844)⁴⁸

Dataset 4. Excel file containing the Focus Forming Units (FFU) counting for Figure 3A. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182845](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182845)⁴⁹

Dataset 5. Excel file containing MTT absorbance raw data. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182846](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182846)⁵⁰

Dataset 6. Excel file containing the densitometry raw data of eIF2 α -P and α -Actin western blots. Related uncropped blots are included. doi, [10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182847](https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.12994.d182847)⁵¹

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was supported by the European Research Council (ERC starting grant 2014 GA639249).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Remedios Torres and Efren Lucas for their technical assistance.

Supplementary material

Figure S1. qPCR Amplification plot of mx1-3 gene expression in RBCs and CHSE-214 cells.

[Click here to access the data.](#)

References

- Wolf K, Snieszko SF, Dunbar CE, *et al.*: **Virus nature of infectious pancreatic necrosis in trout.** *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med.* 1960; 104: 105–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Zhu L, Wang X, Wang K, *et al.*: **Outbreak of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) in farmed rainbow trout in China.** *Acta Trop.* 2017; 170: 63–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Snieszko SF: **Your fishes' health: Diseases of fishes.** *Trop Fish Hobbyist.* 1981; 30(3).
[Reference Source](#)
- Dobos P, Hill BJ, Hallett R, *et al.*: **Biophysical and biochemical characterization of five animal viruses with bisegmented double-stranded RNA genomes.** *J Virol.* 1979; 32(2): 593–605.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Dobos P: **The molecular biology of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV).** *Ann Rev Fish Dis.* 1995; 5: 25–54.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
- Baum J, Ward RH, Conway DJ: **Natural selection on the erythrocyte surface.** *Mol Biol Evol.* 2002; 19(3): 223–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Morera D, Roher N, Ribas L, *et al.*: **RNA-Seq reveals an integrated immune response in nucleated erythrocytes.** *PLoS One.* 2011; 6(10): e26998.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Rodriguez MF, Wiens GD, Purcell MK, *et al.*: **Characterization of Toll-like receptor 3 gene in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*).** *Immunogenetics.* 2005; 57(7): 510–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Passantino L, Massaro MA, Dirillo F, *et al.*: **Antigenically activated avian erythrocytes release cytokine-like factors: a conserved phylogenetic function discovered in fish.** *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol.* 2007; 29(1): 141–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Passantino L, Altamura M, Cianciotta A, *et al.*: **Maturation of fish erythrocytes coincides with changes in their morphology, enhanced ability to interact with *Candida albicans* and release of cytokine-like factors active upon autologous macrophages.** *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol.* 2004; 26(4): 573–85.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Workenhe ST, Kibenge MJ, Wright GM, *et al.*: **Infectious salmon anaemia virus replication and induction of alpha interferon in Atlantic salmon erythrocytes.** *Viral J.* 2008; 5: 36.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Passantino L, Altamura M, Cianciotta A, *et al.*: **Fish immunology. I. Binding and engulfment of *Candida albicans* by erythrocytes of rainbow trout (*Salmo gairdneri* Richardson).** *Immunopharmacol Immunotoxicol.* 2002; 24(4): 665–78.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Schraml B, Baker MA, Reilly BD: **A complement receptor for opsonized immune complexes on erythrocytes from *Oncorhynchus mykiss* but not *Ictalurus punctatus*.** *Mol Immunol.* 2006; 43(10): 1595–603.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
- Finstad OW, Dahle MK, Lindholm TH, *et al.*: **Piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) infects Atlantic salmon erythrocytes.** *Vet Res.* 2014; 45: 35.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Wessel Ø, Olsen CM, Rimstad E, *et al.*: **Piscine orthoreovirus (PRV) replicates in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) erythrocytes ex vivo.** *Vet Res.* 2015; 46: 26.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
- Nombela I, Puente-Marin S, Chico V, *et al.*: **Identification of diverse defense mechanisms in trout red blood cells in response to VHSV halted viral replication [version 1; referees: 3 approved with reservations].** *F1000Res.*

- 2017; 6: 1958.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
17. Hill BJ, Way K: Serological classification of infectious pancreatic necrosis (IPN) virus and other aquatic birnaviruses. *Annu Rev Fish Dis.* 1995; 5: 55–77.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 18. Marroquí L, Estepa A, Perez L: Inhibitory effect of mycophenolic acid on the replication of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus and viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus. *Antiviral Res.* 2008; 80(3): 332–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 19. Chico V, Gomez N, Estepa A, *et al.*: Rapid detection and quantitation of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus in experimentally challenged rainbow trout by real-time RT-PCR. *J Virol Methods.* 2006; 132(1–2): 154–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 20. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods.* 2001; 25(4): 402–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 21. Rojas V, Camus-Guerra H, Guzmán F, *et al.*: Pro-inflammatory caspase-1 activation during the immune response in cells from rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum 1792) challenged with pathogen-associated molecular patterns. *J Fish Dis.* 2015; 38(11): 993–1003.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 22. Schmitt P, Wacyk J, Morales-Lange B, *et al.*: Immunomodulatory effect of cathelicidins in response to a β -glucan in intestinal epithelial cells from rainbow trout. *Dev Comp Immunol.* 2015; 51(1): 160–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Santana P, Palacios C, Narváez E, *et al.*: Anti-peptide antibodies: A tool for detecting IL-8 in salmonids. *Electron J Biotechnol.* 2012; 15(5).
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 24. Rojas V, Morales-Lange B, Guzmán F, *et al.*: Immunological strategy for detecting the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-alpha in salmonids. *Electron J Biotechnol.* 2012; 15(5).
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Chico V, Martinez-Lopez A, Ortega-Villaizan M, *et al.*: Pepscan mapping of viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus glycoprotein G major lineal determinants implicated in triggering host cell antiviral responses mediated by type I interferon. *J Virol.* 2010; 84(14): 7140–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 26. Martinez-Lopez A, Garcia-Valltanen P, Ortega-Villaizan M, *et al.*: VHSV G glycoprotein major determinants implicated in triggering the host type I IFN antiviral response as DNA vaccine molecular adjuvants. *Vaccine.* 2014; 32(45): 6012–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 27. Domínguez J, Hedrick RP, Sánchez-Vizcaino JM: Use of monoclonal-antibodies for detection of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus by the enzyme-linked-immunosorbent-assay (ELISA). *Dis Aquat Organ.* 1990; 8: 157–63.
[Publisher Full Text](#)
 28. Ortega-Villaizan M, Chico V, Martinez-Lopez A, *et al.*: In vitro analysis of the factors contributing to the antiviral state induced by a plasmid encoding the viral haemorrhagic septicaemia virus glycoprotein G in transfected trout cells. *Vaccine.* 2011; 29(4): 737–43.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 29. Wang T, Bird S, Koussounadis A, *et al.*: Identification of a novel IL-1 cytokine family member in teleost fish. *J Immunol.* 2009; 183(2): 962–74.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 30. Wang T, Diaz-Rosales P, Costa MM, *et al.*: Functional characterization of a nonmammalian IL-21: rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss* IL-21 upregulates the expression of the Th cell signature cytokines IFN-gamma, IL-10, and IL-22. *J Immunol.* 2011; 186(2): 708–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 31. Purcell MK, Nichols KM, Winton JR, *et al.*: Comprehensive gene expression profiling following DNA vaccination of rainbow trout against infectious hematopoietic necrosis virus. *Mol Immunol.* 2006; 43(13): 2089–106.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 32. Roehm NW, Rodgers GH, Hatfield SM, *et al.*: An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT. *J Immunol Methods.* 1991; 142(2): 257–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 33. Levin D, London IM: Regulation of protein synthesis: activation by double-stranded RNA of a protein kinase that phosphorylates eukaryotic initiation factor 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1978; 75(3): 1121–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 34. Gamil AA, Mutoloki S, Evensen Ø: A piscine birnavirus induces inhibition of protein synthesis in CHSE-214 cells primarily through the induction of eIF2 α phosphorylation. *Viruses.* 2015; 7(4): 1987–2005.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 35. Ellis AE, Cavaco A, Petrie A, *et al.*: Histology, immunocytochemistry and qRT-PCR analysis of Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L., post-smolts following infection with infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV). *J Fish Dis.* 2010; 33(10): 803–18.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 36. Ørpetveit I, Küntziger T, Sindre H, *et al.*: Infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) from salmonid fish enters, but does not replicate in, mammalian cells. *Viral J.* 2012; 9: 228.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 37. Dahle MK, Wessel Ø, Timmerhaus G, *et al.*: Transcriptome analyses of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) erythrocytes infected with piscine orthoreovirus (PRV). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2015; 45(2): 780–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 38. Schoggins JW, Rice CM: Interferon-stimulated genes and their antiviral effector functions. *Curr Opin Virol.* 2011; 1(6): 519–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 39. Larsen R, Røkenes TP, Robertsen B: Inhibition of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus replication by atlantic salmon Mx1 protein. *J Virol.* 2004; 78(15): 7938–44.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 40. Pham PH, Lumsden JS, Tafalla C, *et al.*: Differential effects of viral hemorrhagic septicaemia virus (VHSV) genotypes IVa and IVb on gill epithelial and spleen macrophage cell lines from rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2013; 34(2): 632–40.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 41. DeWitte-Orr SJ, Leong JA, Bols NC: Induction of antiviral genes, Mx and vig-1, by dsRNA and Chum salmon reovirus in rainbow trout monocyte/macrophage and fibroblast cell lines. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2007; 23(3): 670–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 42. Ingerslev HC, Rønneseth A, Pettersen EF, *et al.*: Differential expression of immune genes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) challenged intraperitoneally or by cohabitation with IPNV. *Scand J Immunol.* 2009; 69(2): 90–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 43. Reyes-Cerpa S, Reyes-López F, Toro-Ascuy D, *et al.*: Induction of anti-inflammatory cytokine expression by IPNV in persistent infection. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2014; 41(2): 172–82.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 44. Wang WL, Liu W, Gong HY, *et al.*: Activation of cytokine expression occurs through the TNF α /NF- κ B-mediated pathway in birnavirus-infected cells. *Fish Shellfish Immunol.* 2011; 31(1): 10–21.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 45. Guarda G, Braun M, Staehli F, *et al.*: Type I interferon inhibits interleukin-1 production and inflammasome activation. *Immunity.* 2011; 34(2): 213–23.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 46. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 1 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)
 47. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 2 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)
 48. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 3 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)
 49. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 4 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)
 50. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 5 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)
 51. Nombela I, Carrion A, Puente-Marin S, *et al.*: Dataset 6 in: Piscine birnavirus triggers antiviral immune response in trout red blood cells, despite not being infective. *F1000Research.* 2017.
[Data Source](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Version 1

Referee Report 23 November 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.14090.r27697



Niels C. Bols

Department of Biology, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON, Canada

The manuscript by Maria de Mar Ortega-Villaizan Romo provides evidence that trout red blood cells can express antiviral mechanisms. The discovery is very intriguing, as red blood cells appear to have additional functions beyond carry gases, and this might open up new ways to enhance fish health. Therefore, I recommend indexing. As documented below, I do have many minor suggestions for improving the clarity and aesthetics of the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Background

Remove “highly”

I suggest “However, the roles of RBCs in birnavirus....”

Methods:

Remove “induced”

Results:

Remove “-exposed RBCs”

Conclusions: I suggest recasting a few sentences as shown below.

“Despite not being infected, trout RBCs respond to IPNV with increased expression of antiviral genes.”

In the last sentence, I suggest “that triggers this antiviral response in trout RBCs”

INTRODUCTION

1st paragraph 2nd line remove “Among all of the viral diseases,”

It sounds awkward and the point being made is made again in the 4th paragraph.

2nd paragraph

Remove “This implies that nucleated RBCs can support viral replication.” Over 40 years ago, enucleated cells were shown to support replication of some viruses¹. Therefore, having a nucleus in RBCs might have nothing to do with their capacity to support the replication of some viruses. For example, human RBCs might also have roles in viral infections. Therefore, the first 2 sentences of this paragraph could be eliminated and the next sentence, after removing “for example”, would become the topic sentence.

3rd paragraph

Remove the sentence that begins “Recently, it has been shown that viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) can induce”

I don’t see how it fits the subject of the paragraph or point out in the sentence that RBCs as well as stromal cells were studied.

4th paragraph

recast the last 2 sentences

“However, we report that even though not infecting RBCs, IPNV induces in them the expression of antiviral genes in the INF-1 pathway.” I am not sure that I would emphasize so strongly “protection against IPNV infection in CHSE-214 cells”. This appears to be based on the 1/5 bar in Figure 3A. I would like to have seen if protection also arose from 1 to 3 supernatant dilution or 1 to 10 supernatant dilution. If either one or both of these dilutions did protect, it would strongly indicate that protection can be repeatability demonstrated.

METHODS*Animals*

Space between 1 and fish.

Space after Spain)

RBC purification

Was **no anticoagulant used in the collection of the blood?** Please clarify. Would thrombocytes be present among the RBCs?

In the 3rd last sentence I wonder if something should follow the 2%. RPMI with 2 % FBS? This also comes in the next section. Maybe define it here as RPMI with 2 % FBS (RPMI/FBS) and use in RPMI/FBS in subsequent section.

Viral infection assays

No need to repeat *Oncorhynchus mykiss* here as the genus species name are mentioned earlier.

In the 2nd last sentence, I believe that the RPMI was “removed” rather than “retired”.

Were RBC infected at 14 °C like CHSE-214 were?

Viral titration assay

In the first sentence, “supernatants were quantified by TCID50 and by RT-qPCR.”

RNA isolation and DNase treatment

no suggestions

Gene expression by RT-qPCR

“synthesized”

Antibodies

I suggest the following topic sentence for this section.

“Several antibodies were used to stain cells for cytokines and to measure polypeptides in RBC extracts by western blotting and they are briefly described below and their Research Resource Identifiers (RRIDs) given.”

Western blot

no suggestions

Intracellular immunofluorescence staining and flow cytometry

no suggestions

Antiviral activity of conditioned medium

Would not the conditioned medium (CM) have virus that had not bound to RBC and thus not pelleted at 1600 rpm and so was in the CM? Please briefly discuss.

Is 'staining' rather than "stain" meant in the following sentence and the next heading?

"Finally, intracellular stain of IPNV foci was carried out."

Intracellular stain of IPNV foci

Add to the end of the 3rd sentence "for 1 hour with the cells".

MTT assays

At the end of this section, a statement of how the absorbance readings are converted to percent viability should be given. I know that it is mentioned in the legend for Figure 3.

Software and statistics

I suggest the following modification to the 2nd sentence.

"was used for preparing graphs and performing statistical calculations".

Ethics approval

no suggestions

RESULTS

IPNV did not infect trout RBCs

I suggest the following recasting of the last sentence and addition of a new last sentence.

"Moreover, flow cytometry analysis of control and IPNV-exposed RBCs for IPNV VP3 protein did not show significant differences (Figure 1C and D). Therefore, IPNV did not infect trout RBCs."

IPNV exposure increased the expression of interferon-related antiviral immune genes and proteins in RBCs

The first sentence should be shortened as follows

"to determine if IPNV would induce an antiviral response in RBCs."

Are there antiviral genes that are not immune genes?

In other words, can the description be simplified to just 'antiviral genes'?

DISCUSSION

2nd paragraph

The last two sentences could refer to the data of the ms for support.

4th paragraph

I wonder if the authors could briefly discuss the apparent constitutive expression of Mx in RBCs as seen in Panel D of Figure 2. Also could Mx be released from RBCs? In cattle Mx1 is found in exosomes (see Racicot K et al., 2012, Am J Reprod Immunol) and exosomes can be released by RBCs (see Danesh A et al., 2014, Blood).

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1

In panel A write out hpe on the X axis.

Figure 2

Is the staining in the control of interest or just background? I mentioned this earlier under the Discussion.
Could any of the cells in panel D be thrombocytes?

Figure 3

Could FFU be written out here in the legend so figure stands alone? The legend mentions doing a two-way ANOVA but no mention of a post test is given, although the 1/5 supernatant dilution is identified with an asterisk?

Figure 4 Title legend has a spelling mistake and is a bit misleading because panel B is not covered by the current title. I suggest the following title.

“Reduction in RBC cytokine levels by IPNV and a possible mechanism”

The legend should give more information so the figure can better stand by itself.

Write out MFI (Mean Fluorescence Intensity) and FC (Flow Cytometry).

At two places in the legend, mention is made of the Mann-Whitney test but the outcome is not clearly stated.

References

1. Follett EA, Pringle CR, Wunner WH, Skehel JJ: Virus replication in enucleate cells: vesicular stomatitis virus and influenza virus. *J Virol.* 1974; **13** (2): 394-9 [PubMed Abstract](#)

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Expertise: Fish cell line development, fish virology

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Author Response 01 Dec 2017

Maria del Mar Ortega-Villaizan Romo, Universidad Miguel Hernandez, Spain

Dear Dr. Niels Bols,

Thank you very much for your positive review and suggestions for the manuscript. We have included your corrections and suggestions in the new version of the manuscript.

ABSTRACT

Background

Remove “highly”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

I suggest “However, the roles of RBCs in birnavirus....”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Methods:

Remove “induced”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Results:

Remove “-exposed RBCs”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Conclusions: I suggest recasting a few sentences as shown below.

“Despite not being infected, trout RBCs respond to IPNV with increased expression of antiviral genes.”

In the last sentence, I suggest “that triggers this antiviral response in trout RBCs”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

INTRODUCTION

1st paragraph *2nd line* remove “Among all of the viral diseases,”

It sounds awkward and the point being made is made again in the 4th paragraph.

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

2nd paragraph

Remove “This implies that nucleated RBCs can support viral replication.” Over 40 years ago, enucleated cells were shown to support replication of some viruses¹. Therefore, having a nucleus in RBCs might have nothing to do with their capacity to support the replication of some viruses.

For example, human RBCs might also have roles in viral infections. Therefore, the first 2 sentences of this paragraph could be eliminated and the next sentence, after removing “for example”, would become the topic sentence.

Author’s response: We have removed these sentences.

3rd paragraph

Remove the sentence that begins “Recently, it has been shown that viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) can induce”

I don’t see how it fits the subject of the paragraph or point out in the sentence that RBCs as well as stromal cells were studied.

Author’s response: We have changed this sentence to: “Besides, recently it has been shown that viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV) halted replication in rainbow trout RBCs could induce cytokine production” as another reference of immune response by RBCs against virus.

4th paragraph

recast the last 2 sentences

“However, we report that even though not infecting RBCs, IPNV induces in them the expression of antiviral genes in the INF-1 pathway.” I am not sure that I would emphasize so strongly “protection against IPNV infection in CHSE-214 cells”. This appears to be based on the 1/5 bar in Figure 3A. I would like to have seen if protection also arose from 1 to 3 supernatant dilution or 1 to 10 supernatant dilution. If either one or both of these dilutions did protect, it would strongly indicate that protection can be repeatably demonstrated.

Author’s response: The protection conferred by the CM from IPNV-exposed RBCs diminished as the dilution increased from 1/5 to 1/25 and 1/125. However, we have not tried 1/3 or 1/10 dilutions. As Dr. Bols pointed out, it would have been interesting to check with lower dilution ranges. In relation to repeatability, this assay was done using the supernatants from 4 ex vivo infections, in triplicate each of them. Therefore, the repeatability could have been demonstrated by the assay itself?

We have rewritten the sentence to do not emphasize so strongly “protection” as follows: “the induction of an antiviral state to IPNV in CHSE-214 cells”.

METHODS*Animals*

Space between 1 and fish.

Space after Spain)

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

RBC purification

Was no anticoagulant used in the collection of the blood? Please clarify. Would thrombocytes be present among the RBCs?

Author’s response: We did not use any anti-coagulant. The blood was immediately diluted in RPMI 10%FBS and slightly resuspended. In this way, no coagulation occurs. On the other hand, thrombocytes were eliminated with the double Ficoll purification. 

In the 3rd last sentence I wonder if something should follow the 2%. RPMI with 2 % FBS? This also comes in the next section. Maybe define it here as RPMI with 2 % FBS (RPMI/FBS) and use in RPMI/FBS in subsequent section.

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Viral infection assays

No need to repeat *Oncorhynchus mykiss* here as the genus species name are mentioned earlier.

In the 2nd last sentence, I believe that the RPMI was “removed” rather than “retired”.

Were RBC infected at 14 °C like CHSE-214 were?

Author’s response: It has been corrected and 14 °C added to RBCs infection protocol.

Viral titration assay

In the first sentence, “supernatants were quantified by TCID50 and by RT-qPCR.”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

RNA isolation and DNase treatment

no suggestions

Gene expression by RT-qPCR

“synthesized”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Antibodies

I suggest the following topic sentence for this section.

“Several antibodies were used to stain cells for cytokines and to measure polypeptides in RBC extracts by western blotting and they are briefly described below and their Research Resource Identifiers (RRIDs) given.”

Author’s response: We have included this sentence.

Western blot

no suggestions

Intracellular immunofluorescence staining and flow cytometry

no suggestions

Antiviral activity of conditioned medium

Would not the conditioned medium (CM) have virus that had not bound to RBC and thus not pelleted at 1600 rpm and so was in the CM? Please briefly discuss.

Author’s response: The supernatants of RBCs exposed to IPNV were titrated and resulted in approximately 10 TCID₅₀/mL or less. We have added the following sentence to clarify this item: “IPNV titer in the supernatants of IPNV-exposed RBCs resulted in 10 TCID₅₀/mL or less, therefore viral presence in the supernatants was obviated”.

Is ‘staining’ rather than “stain” meant in the following sentence and the next heading?

“Finally, intracellular stain of IPNV foci was carried out.”

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Intracellular stain of IPNV foci

Add to the end of the 3rd sentence “for 1 hour with the cells”.

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

MTT assays

At the end of this section, a statement of how the absorbance readings are converted to percent viability should be given. I know that it is mentioned in the legend for Figure 3.

Author’s response: The formula has been added.

Software and statistics

I suggest the following modification to the 2nd sentence.

“was used for preparing graphs and performing statistical calculations”.

Author’s response: It has been corrected.

Ethics approval

no suggestions

RESULTS

IPNV did not infect trout RBCs

I suggest the following recasting of the last sentence and addition of a new last sentence.

“Moreover, flow cytometry analysis of control and IPNV-exposed RBCs for IPNV VP3 protein did

not show significant differences (Figure 1C and D). Therefore, IPNV did not infect trout RBCs.”

Author’s response: We have recasted the sentence as advised.

IPNV exposure increased the expression of interferon-related antiviral immune genes and proteins in RBCs

The first sentence should be shortened as follows

“to determine if IPNV would induce an antiviral response in RBCs.”

Are there antiviral genes that are not immune genes?

In other words, can the description be simplified to just ‘antiviral genes’?

Author’s response: We have shortened the sentence and the description simplified to antiviral genes

DISCUSSION

2nd paragraph

The last two sentences could refer to the data of the ms for support.

Author’s response: We have referred this affirmation to Figure 1.

4th paragraph

I wonder if the authors could briefly discuss the apparent constitutive expression of Mx in RBCs as seen in Panel D of Figure 2. Also could Mx be released from RBCs? In cattle Mx1 is found in exosomes (see Racicot K et al., 2012, Am J Reprod Immunol) and exosomes can be released by RBCs (see Danesh A et al., 2014, Blood).

Author’s response: Yes, Figure S1 also highlights the elevated constitutive expression of Mx in RBCs, compared to other CHSE-214.

Mx in RBCs exosomes is very interesting appreciation. However, Racicot et al (2012) concluded that “The results presented here show MX1 could play a role in the formation of vesicles secreted into the uterus and suggest involvement in basic cellular processes independent of its role during viral infections”. Therefore, although it is an interesting topic of investigation we would rather not to mention the possible link between Mx and RBCs exosomes in this manuscript since it is unknown whether it could be related to Mx antiviral function.

FIGURES AND LEGENDS

Figure 1

In panel A write out hpe on the X axis.

Author’s response: It has been written

Figure 2

Is the staining in the control of interest or just background? I mentioned this earlier under the Discussion. Could any of the cells in panel D be thrombocytes?

Author’s response: Yes, we have discussed about it in the discussion section. In relation to panel D, none of those cells are thrombocytes.



Figure 3

Could FFU be written out here in the legend so figure stands alone? The legend mentions doing a two-way ANOVA but no mention of a post test is given, although the 1/5 supernatant dilution is identified with an asterisk?

Author’s response: Yes, FFU/mL has been deleted from panel A, x axis, as it is indicated in the figure legend. On the other hand, Sidak’s multiple comparison test was performed and has been

added to the legend.

Figure 4 Title legend has a spelling mistake and is a bit misleading because panel B is not covered by the current title. I suggest the following title.

“Reduction in RBC cytokine levels by IPNV and a possible mechanism”

The legend should give more information so the figure can better stand by itself.

Write out MFI (Mean Fluorescence Intensity) and FC (Flow Cytometry).

At two places in the legend, mention is made of the Mann-Whitney test but the outcome is not clearly stated.

Author’s response: Title of Figure 4 has been changed as follows: IPNV-exposure decreased cytokine levels in rainbow trout RBCs, and MFI and FC explained. In relation to the statistical analysis, Mann-Whitney test was performed for Fig4A and 4b, however, statistically significant differences were not observed.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 20 November 2017

doi:10.5256/f1000research.14090.r27699



Espen Rimstad¹, Maria Dahle²

¹ Department of Food Safety and Infection Biology, Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Oslo, Norway

² Norwegian Veterinary Institute, Oslo, Norway

This is an interesting paper showing that purified rainbow trout RBC exposed to IPNV do not get infected by the virus, but nevertheless raise an innate antiviral response. The latter is shown by the induction of IFN and a few IFN related genes and that conditioned media from IPNV exposed RBC inhibits IPNV infection of the susceptible cell line CHSE-214. Many methods are used to approach the hypotheses and the results appear provide new information on the very intriguing role of fish red blood cells in interaction with viruses, in particular by showing that the cells can induce an antiviral immune response without being infected.

The main comments are related to how the authors have interpreted the results far beyond what they have shown, by drawing links to adaptive immune mechanisms and vaccination approaches, while they could have discussed antiviral protection mechanisms in further detail and with more scientific basis. There are also some missing information regarding the experimental details, and far too extensive use of an unpublished paper in the discussion of the data.

Comments:

1. The title is generalizing beyond the scope of the paper, and should specify the virus and the host studied (IPNV and onchorynchus mykiss).
2. Abstract and Discussion: The statement that antiviral responses in RBCs could be targets for DNA vaccines is not linked to the data presented here. A vaccination effect requires antigen presentation and adaptive immune activation for long term protection, which is not touched upon at all in this paper. If this point should be made, the authors should in the discussion provide some explanation and scientific basis for how they think antiviral responses in RBCs to an uninfected virus make them promising DNA vaccination targets, and how directing DNA vaccines to RBC should be performed.

3. Introduction/Discussion: An unpublished paper: Nombela I, Puente-Marin S et al. is referred to in introduction and several times in the discussion. The content of this paper cannot be evaluated. The authors should primarily use published work in their discussion.
4. Introduction. There are many language mistakes in the introduction (and elsewhere). Please have the manuscript corrected linguistically. Trout is not a specific annotation.
5. Please specify that the work is performed for rainbow trout. Diseases are not agents. Diseases cause losses not loses. Viral titer not titters. *Aquabirnavirus* genus not *Aquabirnaviridae* genera, it is wrong suffix and it is not plural. etc.
6. The role of IPNV - VP3 is not completely unknown, there are several papers describing its function.
7. "The VP2 epitopes are the target for antibodies against different serotypes." Not *against* but distinguishing between. It should be noted that the serotyping system is based upon the use of rabbit antisera, it is not based on the immune response from fish.
8. No observations of viral factories has been observed for ISAV.
9. "The fact that these cells are nucleated implies that fish RBCs can respond to different stimulus and control cellular processes". Not really, having a nucleus doesn't really prove that the cell can react various stimuli, that have to shown.
10. Materials and Methods: Number of fish used not given. Unclear if the fish used were tested for previous viral infections.
11. 18S is given as the reference gene target in the text, but in the table EF1a primers are given. Results is not clear on what was used.
12. Results: Unclear if the "n" in experiments is number of fish or parallel ex vivo infections.
13. "IPNV segment A (IPNV-A) RNA levels inside RBCs and CHSE-214 cell line were similar at 1 and 3 hours post-exposure (hpe) (Figure 1A). After 3 hpe, IPNV-A RNA level was 4 logarithms lower in RBCs in comparison with CHSE-214 cells." Do you mean from 6 hpe and not after 3 hpe? It is not 4 logs difference according to Fig 1 it is 3 log at 6 hpe and 5 log at 26 hpe. It is never 4 logs?
14. What is the detection limit for VP3 in flow cytometri?
15. Discussion:
 1. The small trend towards a difference in cytokine levels Fig 4A should not be discussed as "downregulation", and cannot be interpreted as a cell shut-off of the proteome by the infection. Most likely the slight trend towards down-regulation is just an effect of the relative upregulation of the IFN-I pathway (ISGs)
 2. Authors say in the discussion regarding the protective effect of conditioned media: "To elucidate which factors could be implicated in this protection, we evaluated the production of cytokines in IPNV-exposed RBCs" It is well known that IFN-I, and not to the same degree cytokines, confers a direct antiviral response on surrounding cells. The upregulation of IFN-I is already shown at the transcriptional level, and the upregulation of ISGs directly indicates IFN activity. The main role of cytokines is to attract and activate immune cells and mobilize an immune response in tissue in vivo. The authors should clarify this to show that they understand the difference between local antiviral protection and crosstalk with the immune system.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?

Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?

Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?

Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?

No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 01 Dec 2017

Maria del Mar Ortega-Villaizan Romo, Universidad Miguel Hernandez, Spain

Dear Dr. Espen Rimstad and Dr. Maria Dahle,

We appreciate very much your revision and constructive comments on the manuscript. We have included your corrections in the new version of the manuscript hoping that now the manuscript will be suitable for publication.

Please find below the response to your comments:

1. The title is generalizing beyond the scope of the paper, and should specify the virus and the host studied (IPNV and onchorhynchus mykiss).

Author's response: The title have been changed as advised.

2. Abstract and Discussion: The statement that antiviral responses in RBCs could be targets for DNA vaccines is not linked to the data presented here. A vaccination effect requires antigen presentation and adaptive immune activation for long term protection, which is not touched upon at all in this paper. If this point should be made, the authors should in the discussion provide some explanation and scientific basis for how they think antiviral responses in RBCs to an uninfected virus make them promising DNA vaccination targets, and how directing DNA vaccines to RBC should be performed.

Author's response: In order to avoid misunderstanding we have deleted DNA vaccines from abstract

3. Introduction/Discussion: An unpublished paper: Nombela I, Puente-Marin S et al. is referred to in introduction and several times in the discussion. The content of this paper cannot be evaluated. The authors should primarily use published work in their discussion.

Author's response: The paper reference can be included now and has been included.

4. Introduction. There are many language mistakes in the introduction (and elsewhere). Please have the manuscript corrected linguistically. Trout is not a specific annotation.

Author's response: the manuscript has been linguistically corrected and trout has been properly annotated as rainbow trout.

5. Please specify that the work is performed for rainbow trout. Diseases are not agents. Diseases cause losses not loses. Viral titer not titters. Aquabirnavirus genus not Aquabirnaviridae genera, it is wrong suffix and it is not plural. etc.

Author's response: we have specified that the work has been done for rainbow trout. Titer has been written instead of titters. Aquabirnavirus genus has been written instead of Aquabirnaviridae genera.

6. The role of IPNV - VP3 is not completely unknown, there are several papers describing its function.

Author's response: This sentence has been deleted since it is not the target of our study.

7. "The VP2 epitopes are the target for antibodies against different serotypes." Not against but distinguishing between. It should be noted that the serotyping system is based upon the use of rabbit antisera, it is not based on the immune response from fish.

Author's response: This sentence has been deleted since it is not the target of our study.

8. No observations of viral factories has been observed for ISAV.

Author's response: We have deleted that sentence.

9. "The fact that these cells are nucleated implies that fish RBCs can respond to different stimulus and control cellular processes". Not really, having a nucleus doesn't really prove that the cell can react various stimuli, that have to shown.

Author's response: We have deleted that sentence.

10. Materials and Methods: Number of fish used not given. Unclear if the fish used were tested for previous viral infections.

Author's response: The number of fish is indicated in each experiment/figure. On the other hand, the fish has not been tested for previous infection. However, the fish farm appears to be free of IPNV and VHSV, since they do not have associated mortalities.

11. 18S is given as the reference gene target in the text, but in the table EF1a primers are given. Results is not clear on what was used.

Author's response: Yes, this has been an error. EF1a has been removed from the primers table.

12. Results: Unclear if the "n" in experiments is number of fish or parallel ex vivo infections.

Author's response: Yes, "n" refers to number of fish/ ex vivo infections

13. "IPNV segment A (IPNV-A) RNA levels inside RBCs and CHSE-214 cell line were similar at 1 and 3 hours post-exposure (hpe) (Figure 1A). After 3 hpe, IPNV-A RNA level was 4 logarithms lower in RBCs in comparison with CHSE-214 cells." Do you mean from 6 hpe and not after 3 hpe? It is not 4 logs difference according to Fig 1 it is 3 log at 6 hpe and 5 log at 26 hpe. It is never 4 logs?

Author's response: Yes, please excuse the error. We have corrected it as "After 6 hpe, IPNV-A RNA level was 3 logarithms lower"

14. What is the detection limit for VP3 in flow cytometri?

Author's response: We do not know the limit of detection for VP3 by flow cytometry. However, by ELISA, it has been described that the limit of the detection for 2F12 + 3B12 antibody mix (anti-VP3) is 104 TCID50/ml (Domínguez J, Hedrick RP, Sánchez-Vizcaino JM: Use of

monoclonal-antibodies for detection of infectious pancreatic necrosis virus by the enzyme-linked-immunosorbent-assay (ELISA). Dis Aquat Organ. 1990; 8: 157–63. Publisher Full Text).

15. Discussion:

1. The small trend towards a difference in cytokine levels Fig 4A should not be discussed as “downregulation”, and cannot be interpreted as a cell shut-off of the proteome by the infection. Most likely the slight trend towards down-regulation is just an effect of the relative upregulation of the IFN-I pathway (ISGs)

Author’s response: We have included this appreciation in the discussion.

2. Authors say in the discussion regarding the protective effect of conditioned media: “To elucidate which factors could be implicated in this protection, we evaluated the production of cytokines in IPNV-exposed RBCs” It is well known that IFN-I, and not to the same degree cytokines, confers a direct antiviral response on surrounding cells. The upregulation of IFN-I is already shown at the transcriptional level, and the upregulation of ISGs directly indicates IFN activity. The main role of cytokines is to attract and activate immune cells and mobilize an immune response in tissue in vivo. The authors should clarify this to show that they understand the difference between local antiviral protection and crosstalk with the immune system.

Author’s response: In order to avoid misunderstandings, and due to lack of information about il8, il1b and tnfa receptor pathways in CHSE-214, we have deleted in the manuscript the relation between il8, il1b and tnfa with the protection of CHSE against IPNV.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com

F1000Research