



Chemical synthesis rewriting of a bacterial genome to achieve design flexibility and biological functionality

Jonathan E. Venetz^a, Luca Del Medico^a, Alexander Wölfle^a, Philipp Schächle^a, Yves Bucher^a, Donat Appert^a, Flavia Tschan^a, Carlos E. Flores-Tinoco^a, Mariëlle van Kooten^a, Rym Guennoun^a, Samuel Deutsch^b, Matthias Christen^{a,1}, and Beat Christen^{a,1}

^aInstitute of Molecular Systems Biology, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland; and ^bDepartment of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA 94598

Edited by David Baker, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, and approved March 6, 2019 (received for review October 29, 2018)

Understanding how to program biological functions into artificial DNA sequences remains a key challenge in synthetic genomics. Here, we report the chemical synthesis and testing of *Caulobacter ethensis-2.0* (*C. eth-2.0*), a rewritten bacterial genome composed of the most fundamental functions of a bacterial cell. We rebuilt the essential genome of *Caulobacter crescentus* through the process of chemical synthesis rewriting and studied the genetic information content at the level of its essential genes. Within the 785,701-bp genome, we used sequence rewriting to reduce the number of encoded genetic features from 6,290 to 799. Overall, we introduced 133,313 base substitutions, resulting in the rewriting of 123,562 codons. We tested the biological functionality of the genome design in *C. crescentus* by transposon mutagenesis. Our analysis revealed that 432 essential genes of *C. eth-2.0*, corresponding to 81.5% of the design, are equal in functionality to natural genes. These findings suggest that neither changing mRNA structure nor changing the codon context have significant influence on biological functionality of synthetic genomes. Discovery of 98 genes that lost their function identified essential genes with incorrect annotation, including a limited set of 27 genes where we uncovered noncoding control features embedded within protein-coding sequences. In sum, our results highlight the promise of chemical synthesis rewriting to decode fundamental genome functions and its utility toward the design of improved organisms for industrial purposes and health benefits.

Caulobacter crescentus | chemical genome synthesis | genome rewriting | synonymous recoding | de novo DNA synthesis

In the early 2000s, the template-independent chemical synthesis of the 7.4-kb polio virus (1) and 5.4-kb bacteriophage phiX174 genomes (2) using oligonucleotides has ushered in the field of synthetic genomics. The initial progress on moderately sized viral genomes has spurred whole-genome synthesis of more complex organisms. In 2008 and 2010, the Craig Venter Institute reported the chemical synthesis of genome replicas from *Mycoplasma genitalium* (583 kb) and *Mycoplasma mycoides* (1.1 Mb) (3, 4), respectively. These efforts expanded the chemical synthesis scale to megabases and improved in vitro DNA assembly strategies and genome transplantation methods. However, the work also highlighted the challenges of whole-genome synthesis, as a single missense mutation within the *dnaA* gene initially prevented boot up. To gain insights into a minimal gene set for cellular life, the teams of Craig Venter built a 473-gene reduced version of the *M. mycoides* genome (5).

Along with these accomplishments, the concept of whole-genome synthesis and genome minimization has been expanded toward the rebuilding of all 16 chromosomes of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* driven by an international consortium composed of 21 institutions. In 2014, the consortium reported synthesis of the artificial yeast chromosome synIII (273 kb) (6). Subsequently, five additional chromosomes (7–11) were generated, and as of 2018, roughly 40% of the entire yeast genome has

been covered. The redesigned chromosomes removed repetitive sequences (tRNA genes, introns, and transposons) to increase targeting fidelity during stepwise homologous replacement as well as included the seeding of loxP sites to permit iterative genome reduction on completion of yeast chromosomes. In the beginning of the yeast 2.0 synthesis project, CRISPR had not yet entered the stage, but today, it offers an alternative approach for progressive genome reduction.

The redundancy of the genetic code defining the same amino acid by multiple synonymous codons offers the possibility to erase and reassign codons throughout an entire genome. Such rewriting efforts are used to engineer organisms with altered genetic codes and free up codons for incorporation of artificial amino acids, which do not occur within natural organisms. To date, genome-wide rewriting efforts have been primarily reported for viral genomes (12–14), and a few are focused on the rewriting of microbial genomes of *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella*, and *S. cerevisiae*. Using oligo-mediated recombineering (15), all 321 instances of the TAG stop codon in *E. coli* were altered to TAA, demonstrating the dispensability of a stop codon within the genetic code (16). In an extension of this approach, rewriting of 13 sense codons across a set of ribosomal genes (17) and genome-wide rewriting of 123 instances of the arginine rare codons AGA and AGG (18) were accomplished in *E. coli*. These studies unearthed unexpected recalcitrant synonymous rewriting

Significance

The fundamental biological functions of a living cell are stored within the DNA sequence of its genome. Classical genetic approaches dissect the functioning of biological systems by analyzing individual genes, yet uncovering the essential gene set of an organism has remained very challenging. It is argued that the rewriting of entire genomes through the process of chemical synthesis provides a powerful and complementary research concept to understand how essential functions are programed into genomes.

Author contributions: M.C. and B.C. designed research; J.E.V., L.D.M., A.W., P.S., Y.B., D.A., F.T., C.E.F.-T., M.v.K., R.G., and S.D. performed research; J.E.V., L.D.M., M.C., and B.C. analyzed data; and J.E.V., M.C., and B.C. wrote the paper.

Conflict of interest statement: Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule holds a patent application (WO2017085249A1) with M.C. and B.C. as inventors that covers functional testing of synthetic genomes. M.C. and B.C. hold shares from Gigabases Switzerland AG.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.


This open access article is distributed under [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0](#) (CC BY-NC-ND).

Data deposition: The sequence of the *C. eth-2.0* genome reported in this paper has been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information database (GenBank accession no. [CP035535](#)).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: matthias.christen@imsb.biol.ethz.ch or beat.christen@imsb.biol.ethz.ch.

This article contains supporting information online at www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.1818259116/-DCSupplemental.

Published online April 1, 2019.



Sequence Rewriting of *C. eth-1.0* to Enable de Novo Genome Synthesis. We were unable to obtain 3- to 4-kb DNA building blocks of *C. eth-1.0* from commercial DNA suppliers due to a multitude of synthesis constraints. Synthesis constraints are a common problem of natural genome sequences, which have evolved to maintain biological information rather than facilitate

Table 1. Part list used to build the *C. eth-1.0* genome design

DNA part category	Quantity	Size (bp)	Fraction (%)
Protein-coding sequences	676	660,789	83.9
Essential	462	471,072	59.8
Semiessential	113	114,270	14.5
Redundant	15	14,970	1.9
Nonessential	86	60,477	7.7
Noncoding sequences	54	9,726	1.2
tRNA	44	3,455	0.4
rRNA	3	4,387	0.6
ncRNA	7	1,884	0.2
Intergenic sequences	1,015	96,043	12.2
Genome replication and assembly	16	19,143	2.5
Click markers*	5	6,352	0.8
ARS	10	2,121	0.3
pMR10Y†	1	10,670	1.4
Total no. of DNA parts	1,761	785,701	

*Auxotrophic selection markers that were used to direct the assembly and maintenance of the genome design in yeast. ncRNA, noncoding RNA.

†The pMR10Y shuttle vector contains a broad host-range RK2-based low copy replicon (GenBank accession no. AJ606312.1), a kanamycin selection marker, and *oriT* function for conjugational transfer from *E. coli* to *Caulobacter* as well as URA3 marker, ARS, and centromere (CEN) elements for selection and replication in yeast.

chemical synthesis. Recent bioinformatics work (31) showed that more than three-quarters of all deposited bacterial genome sequences are not amenable for low-cost synthesis. We hypothesized that computational synonymous rewriting into an easy to synthesize sequence would facilitate chemical synthesis of the 785-kb genome while maintaining the encoded biological functions (*SI Appendix, Fig. S1A*). We used our previously reported computational DNA design algorithms (31, 32) and generated a synthesis-optimized genome design termed *C. eth-2.0*. Cumulatively, we introduced 10,172 base substitutions and removed 5,668 synthesis constraints (Table 2). These are composed of 1,233 repeats, 93 homopolymeric stretches, and 4,342 regions of high guanine-cytosine (GC) content (Table 2), known to hinder chemical DNA synthesis. Moreover, we erased additional 1,045 endonuclease restriction sites to facilitate standardized assembly of the DNA building blocks into the 785-kb chromosome of *C. eth-2.0*.

Sequence Rewriting to Minimize the Number of Genetic Features.

We reasoned that chemical synthesis rewriting offers a powerful experimental approach to probe the accuracy of existing genome annotations and study where additional layers of information exist beyond the primary amino acid code. Furthermore, fundamental functions encoded within the essential genomes can be identified. In addition to the base substitutions introduced for synthesis streamlining, we used computational sequence design algorithms (31, 32) to deliberately add 123,141 base substitutions within protein-coding sequences to yield the rewritten *C. eth-2.0* design (Table 2) (33). In *C. eth-2.0*, we replaced 56.1% of all codons by synonymous versions. While the amino acid sequence of the 676 annotated genes was maintained, rewriting enabled us to minimize the number of hypothetical genetic elements present within protein-coding sequences of *C. eth-2.0*. These elements include alternative ORFs, predicted gene internal transcriptional start sites (TSSs), and sequence motifs (predicted or cryptic) that may fine tune translation rates (Fig. 1*B* and *Materials and Methods*). Overall, we removed 87.4% of all putative ORFs (2,822 of 3,229) (*SI Appendix, Fig. S2C*), 95.3% of all internal TSSs (1,648 of 1,730), and 76.7% of all predicted ribosome stalling motifs (1,021 of 1,331) (Table 2). Testing whether rewritten genes remain functional will identify genes in which

additional information beyond the amino acid code is necessary for proper functioning. Achieving functional *C. eth-2.0* genes, however, will provide fully defined artificial genes composed of a minimized number of genetic elements. The precise knowledge of which genes remain functional and the subsequent repair of nonfunctional genes will ultimately lead to a fully defined artificial cell.

Chemical Synthesis of *C. eth-2.0*. We computationally devised a four-tier DNA assembly strategy starting from 3- to 4-kb assembly blocks to build the complete *C. eth-2.0* chromosome in yeast (32) (Fig. 2*A*). Demonstrating the ease of genome-scale synthesis on sequence rewriting, 235 of 236 blocks were successfully manufactured (*SI Appendix*), and only a single DNA block required custom synthesis. We progressively assembled these initial 236 DNA blocks into 37 chromosome segments (19–22 kb in size) and further into 16 megasegments (38–65 kb in size) (Fig. 2*A* and *SI Appendix*) using yeast transformation. To select for the complete chromosome assembly, we applied a click marker strategy by introducing five auxotrophic yeast genes (TRP1, HIS3, MET14, LEU2, and ADE2) split between adjacent megasegments. On correct chromosome assembly in an engineered yeast strain lacking all auxotrophic marker genes (YJV04), click markers will form functional genes and reconstitute prototrophy (Fig. 2*B*). Initial attempts to assemble the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome from 16 megasegments were not successful. Sequencing of yeast clones with partial *C. eth-2.0* assemblies identified two defective ARS elements (ARS416 and ARS1213), which prevented replication of the full-length chromosome. We corrected these

Table 2. Sequence rewriting of *C. eth-1.0* into *C. eth-2.0* leads to massive reduction of genetic features

Type	<i>C. eth-1.0</i>	<i>C. eth-2.0</i>	Fraction (%)
Sequence rewriting			
Base substitutions	None	133,313	17.0
Rewritten codons*	None	123,562	56.1
Codons			
TTG	1,154	0	100
TTA	46	0	100
TAG	173	10	94.2
Alternative genetic features			
ORFs†	3,229	407	87.4
TSS‡	1,730	82	95.3
RBS§	1,331	310	76.7
Remaining genetic features¶	6,290	799	
DNA synthesis constraints			
High GC regions#	4,342	0	100
Direct repeats ≥8 bp	880	113	87.2
Hairpins ≥8 bp	606	140	76.9
Homopolymers	139	46	66.9
Restriction sites	1,047	2	99.8
Synthesis constraints**	7,014	301	

*Number of synonymous codon substitutions introduced on sequence rewriting.

†Number of alternative ORFs residing within the 676 CDSs of *C. eth-1.0* and *C. eth-2.0*.

‡Number of TSSs internal to CDSs.

§Number of ribosome binding sites (RBSs) internal to CDSs.

¶Number of remaining genetic features within CDS of *C. eth-1.0* and *C. eth-2.0*.

#Regions of high GC content > 0.8 within a 100-bp window.

||Total number of type IIS restriction sites that were removed (AarI, BsaI, BspQI, PacI, PmeI, I-CeuI, I-SceI). Note that the two unique PmeI and PacI sites remained within the pMR10Y backbone to facilitate linearization of the final assembled chromosome for subsequent analysis by pulsed field gel electrophoresis.

**Number of DNA synthesis constraints of *C. eth-1.0* and *C. eth-2.0*.




Fig. 2. Assembly of *C. eth-2.0* in *S. cerevisiae*. (A) Schematic representation of the circular 785,701-bp *C. eth-2.0* chromosome with six auxotrophic selection markers (red), 11 ARSs (black), and the restriction sites for PmeI and PacI (blue); 236 DNA blocks (green boxes) were assembled into 37 genome segments (blue boxes) and 16 megasegments (orange boxes) and further assembled into the complete *C. eth-2.0* genome (outermost gray track). (B) The complete *C. eth-2.0* chromosome was assembled in a single reaction from 16 megasegments by yeast spheroplast transformation and subsequent growth selection for auxotrophic TRP1 and LEU2 markers. (C) Growth selection on medium lacking Ura, Trp, His, Met, Leu, and Ade identified yeast clone 2 (*C. eth-2.0*) positive for all auxotrophic markers, while the parental strain (YJV04) fails to grow and requires synthetic defined (SD) medium. (D) Size validation of the 785-kb *C. eth-2.0* chromosome by pulsed field gel electrophoresis. Digestion with PmeI and PacI releases a 771-kb portion of the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome (arrow) from the shuttle vector pMR10Y. Undigested (marker) and PmeI- and PacI-digested yeast chromosomes (YJV04 digest) serve as controls. (E) DNA sequencing coverage at segment level (Top) and megasegment level (Middle) and the complete chromosome assembly (Bottom) are shown.

design errors and added five additional ARS sequences to promote efficient replication of the GC-rich *C. eth-2.0* chromosome in yeast.

One-step transformation of the 16 corrected megasegments into yeast spheroplasts yielded two clones, one of which restored prototrophy for all six auxotrophic click markers, indicating complete assembly of *C. eth-2.0* (Fig. 2C). We subsequently confirmed the presence of *C. eth-2.0* as a single circular chromosome by pulsed field gel electrophoresis (Fig. 2D), diagnostic PCR (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), and whole-genome sequencing (Fig. 2E). *C. eth-2.0* has a high GC content exceeding 57%, while previous chemically synthesized chromosomes (5, 8, 34) exhibit low GC contents closely matching the native yeast genome. So far, attempts to clone high GC sequences in yeast have proven to be difficult (35).

To assess whether *C. eth-2.0* is stably maintained in yeast, we performed whole-genome sequencing on prolonged cultivation. After propagation for over 60 generations, we found no occurrences of adaptive mutations or chromosomal rearrangements within *C. eth-2.0*, indicating stable maintenance in YJV04 (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A). In agreement with this observation, electron micrographs showed normal yeast cell morphologies for parental cells and YJV04 bearing the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B).

We sequence verified *C. eth-2.0* at each assembly level to assess the performance of the genome synthesis process (Fig. 2E). Across the 785-kb genome design, we detected a total of 21 nonsynonymous mutations (SI Appendix, Table S3). Thereof, 17 emanated from nonsequence perfect DNA blocks that were provided by one of two commercial suppliers. Only four additional missense mutations within the genes *argS* (arginyl-tRNA synthetase) and *fabI* (acyl-carrier protein) and the ribosomal genes S7P and L12P were introduced during segment and megasegment assembly in yeast and *E. coli*, respectively. No additional

mutations occurred in the final assembly of the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome, indicating a high sequence fidelity in the genome build process.

Mapping of Toxic Genes. It was previously reported in clone-based genome sequencing studies that natural microbial genomes contain genes encoding for toxic and dosage-sensitive expression products (36, 37). We speculated that toxic genes residing on *C. eth-2.0* would prevent chromosomal maintenance in *Caulobacter*. Therefore, we tested the design in the form of the 37 individual *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments for the presence of toxic genes. Quantification of conjugational transfer from *E. coli* to *Caulobacter* in conjunction with sequencing demonstrated that toxic genes were absent in 25 of 37 chromosome segments (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 and Table S4). However, we observed a drastic reduction in transfer efficiency for 12 segments, suggesting presence of toxic genes that collectively cover 18.9 ± 3.6 kb in sequence (SI Appendix, Table S4). We carried out genetic suppressor analysis and identified evolved strains that tolerated formerly toxic genome segments (Materials and Methods). Whole-genome sequencing of suppressor strains led to the identification of 14 toxic genetic loci (SI Appendix, Table S5) that bear mutations alleviating toxicity. An additional three chromosome segments acquired small deletions on selection for fast growth (SI Appendix, Table S6). Among the toxic genes, we found three chromosome replication genes (*dnaQ*, *dnaB*, *rarA*), six genes involved in LPS and fatty acid biosynthesis (*fabB*, *lptD*, *lpxD*, *accC*, *murU*, *waaF*), two genes encoding interacting RNA polymerase components (*rpoC*, *topA*), the S10-spc-alpha ribosomal protein gene cluster (CETH_01304-01323), and the sodium-proton antiporter *nhaA*. Multiple of the identified toxic genes encode for interacting proteins that form complexes, suggesting an imbalance in subunit dosage as a likely cause for toxicity. Overall, the observed fraction of 1.9% (14 of 730) toxic

genes found in *C. eth-2.0* is well in agreement with the previously reported average of $2.15 \pm 0.8\%$ of toxic genes identified among seven *E. coli* strains (36). We concluded that computational sequence rewriting as part of the chemical synthesis rewriting process does not induce additional gene toxicity. In agreement with this hypothesis, 6 genes among the identified 14 toxic rewritten genes have been previously identified as “unclonable genes” in *E. coli* (37). Furthermore, misbalanced expression of *rpoC*, *rarA*, *dnaB*, and *accC* has previously been reported to elicit toxicity due to imbalance in protein complex subunit stoichiometry (38–41). Given the precedence of toxicity for wild-type genes, we argue that the toxicity of these genes when ectopically expressed is likely a general property and is not attributed to the rewriting process that maintains identical proteins.

Genome-Wide Functionality Assessment of *C. eth-2.0*. While throughout the build process, the *C. eth-2.0* genome was maintained in heterologous hosts, we next investigated whether rewritten genes resume their anticipated function on introduction into *Caulobacter*. Functionality assessment and error diagnosis of large-scale DNA constructs are major challenges for bioengineering of synthetic genomes. To permit parallel functionality assessment of rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes, we developed a transposon-based testing approach. This approach assesses the functionality of rewritten genes in merodiploid test strains, which harbor episomal copies of *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments in addition to the native chromosome. The testing approach measures the functional equivalence between native and rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes through genetic complementation. In the presence of functional *C. eth-2.0* genes, previously essential native genes become dispensable and acquire disruptive transposon insertions (Fig. 3A). In contrast, native genes remain essential and do not tolerate disruptive transposon insertions in the presence of nonfunctional rewritten genes (Fig. 3A). In the case of functional *C. eth-2.0* genes, such an analysis will prove that rewritten gene variants are functionally equivalent to essential native *Caulobacter* genes. Failure in complementation will identify specific genes where sequence rewriting in *C. eth-2.0* erases additional genetic control elements that are important

for proper gene functioning. We asked whether rewritten genes are functionally equivalent to native genes despite the massive level of sequence modification introduced. We subjected 37 merodiploid test strains bearing *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments as episomal copies along the native chromosome to transposon mutagenesis to test gene functionality. We compared transposon insertion patterns obtained between complementing and noncomplementing conditions and assessed the functionality of *C. eth-2.0* (*Materials and Methods* and *Dataset S1*). Nucleotide substitutions introduced on rewriting and sequence optimization of the *C. eth-2.0* genome allowed us to unambiguously assign transposon insertions to the native *Caulobacter* genome and *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments. Cumulatively, we found 81.5% (432 of 530) of all essential and semiessential *C. eth-2.0* genes to be functional (Fig. 3B and Table 3). Functional rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes encompass a drastic reduction in the number of genetic features (annotated, cryptic, or predicted) compared with the wild-type *Caulobacter* genome annotation. Maintenance of biologic functionality within rewritten genes suggests dispensability of these genetic features, and hence, it will lead to refinement of the current genome annotation. During the design process of *C. eth-2.0*, we have reduced the number of genetic features within CDS from 6,290 to 799 (Table 2). The high functionality level of 81.5% observed within the rewritten *C. eth-2.0* suggests that the large majority of the 6,290 previously annotated and predicted genetic features do not adopt essential function. Among the genetic features found to be dispensable were the three formerly assigned antisense transcripts (sRNAs) CCNA_R0109, R0151, and R0194 internal to *rpoC*, *sufB*, and *atpD* that acquired 16, 17, and 62 base substitutions during the rewriting process, respectively (Fig. 4A). Dispensability of the formerly assigned antisense transcripts suggests that the majority of chromosomally encoded sRNAs identified by transcriptome analysis (42, 43) do not elicit an essential function.

Sequence Design Flexibility Beyond Protein-Coding Sequences. The large majority of the 133,313 base substitutions were introduced within protein-coding sequences of *C. eth-2.0*. However, a significant number of nonsynonymous substitutions




Fig. 3. Fault diagnosis and error isolation across the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome. (A) Functionality assessment of the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome. Merodiploid strains bearing episomal *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments (orange and blue circle) are subjected to transposon sequencing (TnSeq). Presence of transposon insertions (blue marks) in a previously essential chromosomal gene (gray arrows) indicates functionality of the homologous *C. eth-2.0* gene (blue arrow), while absence of insertions indicates a nonfunctional *C. eth-2.0* gene (orange arrow). (B) Functionality map of the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome with functional genes (blue arrows), nonfunctional genes (orange arrows), and nonessential control genes (gray arrows).

Table 3. Functionality of *C. eth-2.0* genes according to cellular processes

Category	Functional <i>C. eth</i> -2.0 genes*	<i>P</i> value [†]
Translation [‡]	73.6% (81/110)	5.49E-03
Ribosomal proteins [‡]	60.6% (20/33)	2.01E-03
tRNA synthetases	81.8% (18/22)	6.14E-01
tRNAs	67.8% (19/28)	4.73E-02
Translation factors	88.9% (24/27)	2.22E-01
Transcription	86.7% (13/15)	4.51E-01
DNA replication	83.9% (26/31)	4.69E-01
Cellular processes	87.2% (123/141)	1.12E-02
Cell cycle	87.5% (28/32)	2.52E-01
Cell envelope	86.9% (73/84)	8.48E-02
Protein turnover	88.0% (22/25)	2.81E-01
Energy production	73.9% (34/46)	1.03E-01
Metabolism [§]	90.3% (121/134)	2.60E-04
Hypothetical proteins [‡]	64.2% (34/53)	7.45E-04
Total	81.5% (432/530)	

*Fraction of functional *C. eth-2.0* genes as assessed by transposon sequencing. Numbers of functional genes vs. total gene numbers per class are shown in parentheses.

[†]*P* values for functionality enrichment and deenrichment of different gene categories.

[‡] Categories of genes that display a significant decrease in functionality.

^sCategories of genes that display a significant increase in functionality.

were inserted within intergenic and noncoding regions, such as tRNA genes, to facilitate the de novo DNA synthesis process. We found that base substitutions within noncoding sequences were frequently tolerated and did not impair gene functional-

ity. For example, the two tRNA genes tRNA^{Trp} and tRNA^{Tyr} remained functional despite base changes that were introduced within the anticodon arm to erase DNA synthesis constraints present within the wild-type sequences (Fig. 4B). In the case of tRNA^{Trp}, we removed a Type IIS restriction site, and in tRNA^{Tyr}, a homopolymeric sequence pattern hindering DNA synthesis was removed. Both rewritten tRNA genes retained their function as revealed by our transposon-based complementation measurements (Fig. 4B). These findings suggest that, even apart from protein-coding sequences, a high level of sequence design flexibility exists to imprint biological functions into DNA.

Level of Gene Functionality Among Cellular Processes. We reasoned that the analysis of gene functionality among different cellular processes would permit identification of gene classes harboring high levels of transcriptional and translational control elements within CDS. Assignment of gene functionality among cellular processes revealed that metabolic genes were enriched with over 90.3% functionality (P value of $2.60E-4$) (Table 3). This supports the idea that metabolic genes contain a low level of transcriptional and translational control elements embedded within their CDS. This finding correlates with the observation that regulation of bacterial metabolism mainly occurs at the enzymatic level (44, 45). However, hypothetical and ribosomal genes were underrepresented, with 64.2 and 60.6% functional *C. eth-2.0* genes, respectively (P values of $5.45E-4$ and $2.01E-3$, respectively) (Table 3). Based on these findings, we estimated that one-third of the hypothetical essential genes encode for genetic features other than the annotated protein-coding sequence. Likewise, close to 40% of all ribosomal genes likely contain additional regulatory




Fig. 4. Sequence design flexibility within rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes. (A) Dispensability of antisense RNAs. Schematic depicting dispensable antisense transcripts embedded with CDSs of genes *rpoC*, *sufB*, and *atpD* (blue arrows). On synonymous rewriting, antisense transcripts CCNA.R0109, CCNA.R0151, and CCNA.R0194 (dotted arrows) internal to *rpoC*, *sufB*, and *atpD* acquired 16, 17, and 62 base substitutions, respectively. Essential chromosomal genes *rpoC*, *sufB*, and *atpD* carry disruptive transposon insertion (blue marks) in the presence of complementing *C. eth-2.0* chromosome segments (blue marks) compared with the transposon insertion pattern of the wild-type control strain (green marks), indicating that antisense transcripts are nonessential. (B) Schematic depiction of the secondary structure of the rewritten tRNA^{Trp} and tRNA^{Tyr}. Type IIS restriction sites (red letters; *Left*) and homopolymeric sequences (red letters; *Right*) hindering chemical synthesis of tRNA genes were erased by introducing base substitutions (blue) in the anticodon arms while maintaining the anticodons (gray box). Transposon testing reveals functionality of *C. eth-2.0* tRNA genes. (C) Functionality testing of *C. eth-2.0* operons. On complementation with *C. eth-2.0* operons, chromosomal genes tolerate disruptive transposon insertions (blue marks) throughout the native operon, leading to simultaneous inactivation of multiple native genes.

elements embedded within their protein-coding sequence. From a gene regulatory perspective, this is not surprising, as protein synthesis is the major consumer of cellular energy in bacteria (46). Furthermore, the biogenesis of functional ribosome complexes depends on the concerted transcriptional control of many ribosomal operon genes (47). In sum, our analysis suggests that a low level of additional essential regulatory elements is embedded within the protein-coding sequences of metabolic genes. However, a high number of regulatory elements are embedded within coexpressed ribosomal genes and other multigene core modules of the bacterial cell.

Rewritten *C. eth-2.0* Operons Encompass Fully Functional Biological Modules. Although a significant amount of chemical synthesis rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes are functional on an individual basis, we hypothesized that additive fitness effects might arise when multiple synthetic genes were combined. We thus searched for chromosomal transposon insertions within essential *Caulobacter* operons leading to simultaneous inactivation of multiple gene products due to truncation of a polycistronic mRNA transcript. We observed such transposon insertions within 41 formerly essential *Caulobacter* operon genes (Fig. 4C and Dataset S3), suggesting that the chemical synthesis rewritten *C. eth-2.0* genes may indeed fully encompass functional biological modules complementing the function of their native counterparts. One example includes the *mreBCD-rodA* operon, which is involved in the coordination of cell wall peptidoglycan biosynthesis machinery. This complex is critical for the generation and maintenance of bacterial cell shape (48). We found transposon insertions disrupting the polycistronic mRNA, suggesting that the function of the chromosomal *mreBCD-rodA* operon is complemented by the *C. eth-2.0* counterparts (Fig. 4C). Similar patterns of transposon insertions were obtained for the *groEL-ES* operon (49) and the membrane protein chaperone operon *yidC-yidA* (50). Both tolerated disruptive transposon insertions throughout the native sequence, leading to simultaneous inactivation of multiple genes. These findings support the idea that additive fitness effects do not likely arise when multiple synthetic genes are combined into functional modules, which will ultimately simplify the build process of artificial chromosomes by using chemical synthesis rewritten DNA.

Discovery of Essential Genetic Features Within CDS. We reasoned that the process of chemical synthesis rewriting offers a powerful experimental approach to map hitherto unknown genetic regulatory elements encoded within the protein-coding sequence and validate the annotation accuracy of an organism's genome. Discovery of genes that lost their function on rewriting suggested the presence of additional essential genetic features, which have evaded previous genome annotation efforts. Error cause classification of the 98 nonfunctional *C. eth-2.0* genes (Materials and Methods) pinpointed to 52 instances of imprecise annotation of the ancestral *Caulobacter* genome including misannotated promoter regions and incorrect TSSs predictions. This implies that a significant number of protein-coding genes remain misannotated within curated genomes. We found evidence for 27 transcriptional and translational control signals embedded within protein-coding sequences that were erased due to sequence rewriting. This finding suggests that internal transcriptional and translational control elements do not often occur within CDS of the *Caulobacter* genome. In only 13 instances, we detected nonfunctional genes due to base substitutions introduced outside of protein-coding sequences to optimize synthesis. Furthermore, six genes acquired deleterious mutations during the build and boot-up process (Dataset S2). These findings suggest that inaccurate annotation of protein-coding sequences is the main cause for losing functionality on synonymous rewriting.

Genetic Control Features Within the Cell Division Genes. We next investigated the presence of additional genetic features within the cell division genes *murG*, *murC*, *ftsQ*, and *ftsZ*, in which genetic complementation failed with the corresponding *C. eth-2.0* counterparts (Fig. 5A). We hypothesized that computational sequence rewriting likely erased critical control elements needed for proper gene expression. Indeed, we found that rewriting of an overlapping CDS upstream of *murC* corrupted the associated promoter region. Similarly, sequence rewriting of *ddlB* erased an internal ribosome binding site necessary for translation of the downstream gene *ftsQ* (Fig. 5B). The rewriting of *ftsW* erased an embedded short transcript (*ftsWs*) necessary for *murG* translation (29) (Fig. 5B). Finally, we found a short annotated CDS (29) upstream of the nonfunctional *ftsZ* gene. However, sequence analysis revealed that the wild-type sequence contains a hairpin secondary structure, which resembles a transcriptional attenuation element. This may control *ftsZ* expression depending on the metabolic conditions (Fig. 5B). While additional studies are needed to unravel the exact molecular functions of these genetic




Fig. 5. Fault diagnosis and repair across the *C. eth-2.0* chromosome. (A) Fault diagnosis across the *C. eth-2.0* cell division gene cluster. Transposon insertions in the wild-type control (green marks) and on complementation with *C. eth-2.0* cell division genes (blue marks) are shown. With the exception of the four nonfunctional genes *murG*, *murC*, *ftsQ*, and *ftsZ* (orange arrows), the large majority of rewritten genes are functional (blue arrows). (B) Chemical synthesis rewriting reveals genetic control elements present within the cell division gene cluster, including translational coupling signals (*murG*), internal ribosome binding sites (RBSs; *ftsQ*), extended promoter regions (*murC*), and attenuator sequences upstream of *ftsZ*. (C) Insertion of the wild-type sequence elements upstream of nonfunctional cell division genes restores gene expression as measured by β -galactosidase assays using *lacZ* reporter gen fusions.

Our results highlight the promise of chemical synthesis rewriting of entire genomes to understand how the most fundamental functions of a cell are programed into DNA. On the systems engineering level, our design–build–test approach enables us to harness massive design flexibility to produce rewritten genomes that are customized in sequence while maintaining their biological functionality. On the level of genome synthesis, our findings also highlight how chemical synthesis facilitates rewriting of biological information into DNA sequences that can be physically manufactured in a highly reliable manner, thereby reducing costs and increasing effectiveness of the genome build process. In sum, our results highlight the promise of chemical synthesis rewriting to decode fundamental genome functions and its utility toward design of improved organisms for industrial purposes and health benefits.

Materials and Methods

Detailed materials and methods are in [SI Appendix](#). The sequence of the *C. eth-2.0* genome has been deposited in the NCBI database (GenBank accession no. CP035535).

Design of *C. eth-1.0* Genome and Sequence Rewriting into *C. eth-2.0*. To streamline the *C. eth-1.0* design (30) for DNA synthesis, the previously reported Genome Calligrapher algorithm and sequence design pipeline (31) were applied at a codon recoding probability of 0.56. The streamlined *C. eth-2.0* design contains a low amount of both synthesis constraints and unnecessary genetic features. To enable the retrosynthetic assembly route, *C. eth-2.0* was partitioned into 3- to 4-kb DNA blocks using the previously published Genome Partitioner algorithm (32).

Synthesis and Hierarchical Assembly of the *C. eth-2.0* Genome. The partitioned 3- to 4-kb DNA blocks for the hierarchical assembly of *C. eth-2.0* were ordered from two commercial suppliers of low-cost de novo DNA synthesis. The blocks were assembled into 20-kb segments and subsequently, into 40- to 60-kb megasegments using yeast homologous gap repair. To verify the assemblies, a junction-amplifying PCR was conducted.

To assemble the megasegments into the 785-kb *C. eth-2.0* genome, homologous gap repair was done by the newly generated *S. cerevisiae* strain

YJV04. To transform the segments into the yeast cells, a spheroplast procedure was applied. The assembly was verified by a junction-amplifying PCR. The correct size of the construct was verified using pulse field agarose gel electrophoresis by lysing the yeast cells inside an agarose plug.

The sequence of the *C. eth-2.0* construct was verified using the Illumina NextSeq and iSeq systems.

Construction of Merosynthetic *Caulobacter* Test Strains. Sequence-confirmed *C. eth-2.0* segments were conjugated from *E. coli* S17-1 into *Caulobacter* NA1000 to generate a panel of 37 merosynthetic test strains. The occurrence of toxic *C. eth-2.0* genes was measured by the conjugation frequency of the different segments. To pinpoint the toxic genes, the *C. eth-2.0* segments were sequenced on an Illumina system after the boot up in *Caulobacter*. Using the sequencing data, the mutations within the evolved *C. eth-2.0* segments were analyzed, yielding the precise coordinates of toxic genes.

Fault Diagnosis of *C. eth-2.0* by Transposon Sequencing. To benchmark the functionality of the *C. eth-2.0* genes, transposon sequencing was applied (30). The analysis was conducted using hypersaturated transposon libraries and an Illumina system. The sequencing data were mapped onto the original *Caulobacter* genome, resulting in a set of all functional *C. eth-2.0* genes. After analyzing the nonfunctional genes, a repair of the sequence was done using standard cloning techniques. To test the repaired *C. eth-2.0* genes, a β -on-galactosidase reporter assay was conducted.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank R. Schlapbach and L. Poveda from Zürich Functional Genomics Center (ZFGC) for sequencing support; B. Maier and members from ScopeM for electron microscopy support; S. Nath from the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) for DNA synthesis and sequencing support; F. Rudolf for assistance with yeast marker design; H. Christen for conception of computational algorithms; and Samuel I. Miller, Markus Aebi, and Uwe Sauer for critical comments. This work received institutional support from Community Science Program (CSP) DNA Synthesis Award Grants JGI CSP-1593 (to M.C. and B.C.) and CSP-2840 (to M.C. and B.C.) from the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zürich ETH Research Grant ETH-08 16-1 (to B.C.), and Swiss National Science Foundation Grant 31003A_166476 (to B.C.). The work conducted by the US Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, a Department of Energy Office of Science User Facility, is supported by Office of Science of the US Department of Energy Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

- Cello J, Paul AV, Wimmer E (2002) Chemical synthesis of poliovirus cDNA: Generation of infectious virus in the absence of natural template. *Science* 297:1016–1018.
- Smith HO, Hutchison CA, Pfannkuch C, Venter JC (2003) Generating a synthetic genome by whole genome assembly: phiX174 bacteriophage from synthetic oligonucleotides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:15440–15445.
- Gibson DG, et al. (2008) Complete chemical synthesis, assembly, and cloning of a mycoplasma genitalium genome. *Science* 319:1215–1220.
- Gibson DG, et al. (2010) Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* 329:52–56.
- Hutchison CA, et al. (2016) Design and synthesis of a minimal bacterial genome. *Science* 351:aad6253.
- Annaluru N, et al. (2014) Total synthesis of a functional designer eukaryotic chromosome. *Science* 344:55–58.
- Mitchell LA, et al. (2017) Synthesis, debugging, and effects of synthetic chromosome consolidation: Synvi and beyond. *Science* 355:eaaf4831.
- Richardson SM, et al. (2017) Design of a synthetic yeast genome. *Science* 355:1040–1044.
- Shen Y, et al. (2017) Deep functional analysis of synii, a 770-kilobase synthetic yeast chromosome. *Science* 355:eaaf4791.
- Wu Y, et al. (2017) Bug mapping and fitness testing of chemically synthesized chromosome X. *Science* 355:eaaf4706.
- Xie ZX, et al. (2017) “Perfect” designer chromosome V and behavior of a ring derivative. *Science* 355:eaaf4704.
- Coleman JR, et al. (2008) Virus attenuation by genome-scale changes in codon pair bias. *Science* 320:1784–1787.
- Martinez MA, Jordan-Paiz A, Franco S, Nevot M (2016) Synonymous virus genome recoding as a tool to impact viral fitness. *Trends Microbiol* 24:134–147.
- Mueller S, et al. (2010) Live attenuated influenza virus vaccines by computer-aided rational design. *Nat Biotechnol* 28:723–726.
- Wang HH, et al. (2009) Programming cells by multiplex genome engineering and accelerated evolution. *Nature* 460:894–898.
- Isaacs FJ, et al. (2011) Precise manipulation of chromosomes in vivo enables genome-wide codon replacement. *Science* 333:348–353.
- Lajoie M, et al. (2013) Probing the limits of genetic recoding in essential genes. *Science* 342:361–363.
- Napolitano MG, et al. (2016) Emergent rules for codon choice elucidated by editing rare arginine codons in *Escherichia coli*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E5588–E5597.
- Wang K, et al. (2016) Defining synonymous codon compression schemes by genome recoding. *Nature* 539:59–64.
- Lau YH, et al. (2017) Large-scale recoding of a bacterial genome by iterative recombining of synthetic DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45:6971–6980.
- Ostrov N, et al. (2016) Design, synthesis, and testing toward a 57-codon genome. *Science* 353:819–822.
- Holtzendorff J, et al. (2004) Oscillating global regulators control the genetic circuit driving a bacterial cell cycle. *Science* 304:983–987.
- McGrath PT, et al. (2007) High-throughput identification of transcription start sites, conserved promoter motifs and predicted regulons. *Nat Biotechnol* 25:584–592.
- Christen M, et al. (2010) Asymmetrical distribution of the second messenger c-di-GMP upon bacterial cell division. *Science* 328:1295–1297.
- Shen X, et al. (2008) Architecture and inherent robustness of a bacterial cell-cycle control system. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:11340–11345.
- Schrader JM, et al. (2014) The coding and noncoding architecture of the *Caulobacter crescentus* genome. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004463.
- Zhou B, et al. (2015) The global regulatory architecture of transcription during the *Caulobacter* cell cycle. *PLoS Genet* 11:e1004831.
- Nierman WC, et al. (2001) Complete genome sequence of *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:4136–4141.
- Schrader JM, et al. (2016) Dynamic translation regulation in *Caulobacter* cell cycle control. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E6859–E6867.
- Christen B, et al. (2011) The essential genome of a bacterium. *Mol Syst Biol* 7:528–528.
- Christen M, Deutsch S, Christen B (2015) Genome calligrapher: A web tool for refactoring bacterial genome sequences for de novo DNA synthesis. *ACS Synth Biol* 4:927–934.
- Christen M, Del Medico L, Christen H, Christen B (2017) Genome partitioner: A web tool for multi-level partitioning of large-scale DNA constructs for synthetic biology applications. *PLoS One* 12:e0177234.
- Christen M, Christen B (2019) *Caulobacter ethensis* CETH2.0 genome sequence. GenBank. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/search/all/?term=CP035535>. Deposited January 31, 2019.

34. Gibson DG, et al. (2010) Creation of a bacterial cell controlled by a chemically synthesized genome. *Science* 329:52–56.
35. Noskov VN, et al. (2012) Assembly of large, high G+C bacterial DNA fragments in yeast. *ACS Synth Biol* 1:267–273.
36. Kimelman A, et al. (2012) A vast collection of microbial genes that are toxic to bacteria. *Genome Res* 22:802–809.
37. Sorek R, et al. (2007) Genome-wide experimental determination of barriers to horizontal gene transfer. *Science* 318:1449–1452.
38. Izard J, et al. (2015) A synthetic growth switch based on controlled expression of rna polymerase. *Mol Syst Biol* 11:840.
39. Shibata T, et al. (2005) Functional overlap between reca and mgsa (rara) in the rescue of stalled replication forks in *Escherichia coli*. *Genes Cells* 10:181–191.
40. Allen G, Kornberg A (1991) Fine balance in the regulation of dna helicase by dnaC protein in replication in *Escherichia coli*. *J Biol Chem* 266:22096–22101.
41. Choi-Rhee E, Cronan JE (2003) The biotin carboxylase-biotin carboxyl carrier protein complex of *Escherichia coli* acetyl-coa carboxylase. *J Biol Chem* 278:30806–30812.
42. Rutherford ST, Bassler BL (2012) Bacterial quorum sensing: Its role in virulence and possibilities for its control. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspect Med* 2:a012427.
43. Storz G, Vogel J, Wassarman KM (2011) Regulation by small RNAs in bacteria: Expanding frontiers. *Mol Cell* 43:880–891.
44. Monod J, Changeux JP, Jacob F (1963) Allosteric proteins and cellular control systems. *J Mol Biol* 6:306–329.
45. Chubukov V, Gerosa L, Kochanowski K, Sauer U (2014) Coordination of microbial metabolism. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 12:327–340.
46. Li GW, Burkhardt D, Gross C, Weissman JS (2014) Quantifying absolute protein synthesis rates reveals principles underlying allocation of cellular resources. *Cell* 157:624–635.
47. Paul BJ, Ross W, Gaal T, Gourse RL (2004) Rrna transcription in *Escherichia coli*. *Annu Rev Genet* 38:749–770.
48. Dye NA, Pincus Z, Theriot JA, Shapiro L, Gitai Z (2005) Two independent spiral structures control cell shape in *Caulobacter*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102:18608–18613.
49. Susin MF, Baldini RL, Gueiros-Filho F, Gomes SL (2006) Groes/groel and dnaK/dnaJ have distinct roles in stress responses and during cell cycle progression in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *J Bacteriol* 188:8044–8053.
50. Kiefer D, Kuhn A (2007) YidC as an essential and multifunctional component in membrane protein assembly. *Int Rev Cytol* 259:113–138.
51. McAdams HH, Shapiro L (2003) A bacterial cell-cycle regulatory network operating in time and space. *Science* 301:1874–1877.
52. McAdams HH, Shapiro L (2009) System-level design of bacterial cell cycle control. *FEBS Lett* 583:3991.
53. Lasker K, Mann TH, Shapiro L (2016) An intracellular compass spatially coordinates cell cycle modules in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 33:131–139.
54. Skerker JM, Laub MT (2004) Cell-cycle progression and the generation of asymmetry in *Caulobacter crescentus*. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2:325–337.
55. Danchin A, Fang G (2016) Unknown unknowns: Essential genes in quest for function. *Microb Biotechnol* 9:530–540.
56. Chevance FFV, Hughes KT (2017) Case for the genetic code as a triplet of triplets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 114:4745–4750.